## Economic Issues and Problems IV: Differential Rates of Poverty in **Racial and Ethnic Groups**

## Read: Stack 45-106

#### I. Demography

- A. 2000 US Census
  - 1. 275 million people<sup>1</sup>
    - 82.1% classified as white, 12.9% classified as black, 13.6% a. "other"
  - 1997: 70.2 million "family households" 2.
    - A shift from the earlier "head of household," which saw the a. husband as the reference person

#### II. Poverty

- Incidence over time: A.
  - Individual people below poverty level in 1970: 12.6% of the population 1.
  - 2. Individual people below poverty level in 1996: 13.7% of the population
  - In 1997, 11.6% of *families* were below poverty level<sup>2</sup> 3.
    - 8.4% of all white families (not Hispanic origin) a.
    - 10.2 of all Asian families b.
    - 23.6 of all black families c.
    - d. 24.7 of all Hispanic-origin families
  - 4. Among female householders, no spouse present:
    - 31.6% of the white families below the poverty line a.
    - 39.8% of black families b.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Statistics taken from J. Ross Eshleman, 2000, *The Family Experience*. 9<sup>th</sup> edition. Boston: Allyn and Bacon: 235.
<sup>2</sup> Eshleman 2000: 324.

- III. Children and Poverty
  - A. Children under age 18 in poverty:
    - 1. 1970: 15.1%
    - 2. 1996: 21.8%
  - B. Children are the most likely group to be poor
    - 1. Rates fell quite dramatically from the 1950s to the late 1960s
    - 2. Remained fairly low through the 1970s, but rose in the 1980s and 1990s.
    - 3. Another measure:

"Between 1981 and 1984, nearly half the children who died in New York City before their second year of life were buried at Potter's Field. Almost 1/3 of all persons buried at Potter's Field during those years were infants."<sup>3</sup>

- a. No one can attend the burial or visit the graves (trenches; 40 buried at once)
- C. Child poverty rates vary with race/ethnicity; in 1999:
  - 1. 13.5% white children were poor
  - 2. 33.1% black children
  - 3. 30.3% Hispanic
  - 4. Note, however, that there are more poor white children in absolute numbers
- D. Possible consequences of poverty for children?
  - 1. Poverty associated with
    - a. Lower birth weight

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Material in this section from Judy Root Aulette, 2002. *Changing American Families*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon: 93-106.

- b. Greater likelihood of childhood injury
- c. Increased behavioral problems
- d. Lower cognitive and academic achievement
- e. Greater likelihood of out-of-wedlock pregnancy
- 2. Poor children most likely to be younger
  - a. Poverty before age 6 is particularly detrimental to positive development
- IV. Homelessness
  - A. In early 1980s, when first seen as a problem, appeared to be a problem of single men
    - 1. They still are the most visible
      - a. Families aren't literally "out on the streets"
    - 2. But in 1986 families were estimated to comprise about 40% of the homeless population
      - a. And children under 18 comprised 25%.
      - b. Average homeless family consisted of a mother and 2 or 3 children
  - B. Alliance Housing Council (1988) estimated that 2 million people were homeless for at least one night in 1987
  - C. The number of "worse case needs"—families who are paying 50% or more of their income for housing—grew by 12% from 1991 to 1997.
  - D. Inadequate responses by cities and states
    - 1. Shelters are especially inadequate for families
    - 2. Families are broken up, children separated from parents, wives from husbands
    - 3. And there's an economic cost to staying together
      - a. AFDC payments in New York State: \$262 a month (food, clothes, rent) if child stays with parents

b. If child goes to a foster home, grant is increased to \$631 a month

4. Families are required to leave the shelter early in AM, not return till evening

- 5. Shelters aren't safe
- 6. Shelters are located away from schools, parents lack transportation
- E. Other option: welfare hotels
  - 1. No facilities for food storage or preparation
  - 2. Extremely costly
    - a. Massachusetts spent \$16,000 per year per family for a room in a welfare hotel in mid-1980s
- V. Hypothesized causes of increase in poverty
  - A. Global<sup>4</sup>
    - 1. Expansion of industrialized capitalism throughout the world
      - a. Increased competition for US business
    - 2. Increased investment by US businesses in other countries
      - a. Jobs are "outsourced"
    - 3. Shift from manufacturing to information and services
      - a. Unskilled, semi-skilled have far fewer job opportunities
    - 4. Microelectronics, computers, robotics—automation
      - a. Production expands, an expanded flow of goods and services
        - 1) But the number of jobs declines
      - b. For example, automatic teller machines replaced 37% bank tellers between 1983 and 1993

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This section from Root Aulette 103-106.

- c. Retail: automated warehousing, scanners, televised shopping networks
- B. Macro, US-based
  - 1. Increases in unemployment
    - a. Large layoffs
  - 2. Stagnating wages, particularly men's
  - 3. Increasing inequality in the distribution of earnings
    - a. Ownership of private wealth in the US:
      - 1) 1976: the wealthiest 1% owned 19%
        - a) The bottom 90% owned 51%
      - 2) 1995: wealthiest 1% owned 40%
        - a) The bottom 90% owned 29%
  - 4. Reduced governmental income transfers
  - 5. Coontz points to stagnating educational attainment in young adults
    - a. A more indirect cause, but needs attention
    - b. Since 1975, proportion of Americans aged 25-29 who completed high school has remained constant (85%)
    - c. While value of education over the past 20 years has increased substantially re. earning power
- C. Micro
  - 1. Family structure
    - a. More workers per family is one response to economic decline
      - 1) 75% of teenagers have been in the paid work force by the time they finish high school
      - 2) Many more mothers working means many more parents experiencing problems finding quality child care

- 2. Increase in personal and household debt
  - a. More people in debt, and the average debt is larger
  - b. 1973 average household debt as percent of disposable income was 65.2%
  - c. 1999 figure rose to 103.0%
- 3. Increased numbers of single mothers needing to work and pay child care
  - a. "Deadbeat dads"
- 4. Housing costs have risen faster than wages
  - a. 1950s: two-thirds of families could afford a new house without spending more than 25% of their income
  - b. 1980s: only 1 family in 10 could manage this
  - c. Renters face the same problem

### VI. Government response

- A. Welfare<sup>5</sup>
  - 1. Originally (19<sup>th</sup> century) conceived to be providing aid to those who were out of work through no fault of their own
    - a. But a punitive approach to the "undeserving poor"
      - 1) Seen as "intemperate," "immoral," "idle"
      - 2) Subjected to forced work, live in poor-houses
    - b. Earlier concerns about children led to placing them in good homes
    - c. Only later did a concern for protecting mothers and maintaining family cohesion develop
      - 1) Early 20<sup>th</sup> century state laws provided for "Mother's Pensions" for widows so they could keep family together

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Material in this section from Sharon Hays, 2003 "Work, the Family, and Welfare." In Scott Coltrane, 2004, *Families and Society*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth: 481-489.

- 2. The New Deal following the Great Depression
  - a. Legislation Aid to Dependent Children in 1935
  - b. So mothers could stay home to care for young children
- 3. A rise in welfare rolls began in 1960s
  - a. This was the era of the "War on Poverty"
    - 1) Federal programs like food stamps and Medicaid were begun
- 4. Numerous "reforms" were subsequently passed to make welfare less attractive
- 5. Finally: the 1996 "Personal Responsibility Act"
  - a. "End welfare as we know it"
  - b. The Act begins with "Marriage is the foundation of a successful society"
  - c. "Welfare" changed to "Temporary Assistance to Needy Families"
  - c. Mothers absolutely had to participate in paid labor force
  - d. Interesting, given that we are extremely ambivalent about mothers of young children in the paid labor force
  - e. No matter what the circumstances, all welfare recipients are expected to be self sufficient in 5 years; are ineligible after that
    - 1) Some states cut them off after 2 years
  - f. The work requirements are intended as a deterrent: discourage women from choosing divorce or single parenthood
  - g. To think twice before they decide to raise children without the help of men
- 6. The Personal Responsibility Act in fact sends two contradictory messages:
  - a. Women are (or should be) self-sufficient, independent, productive members of society, no longer dependent on men or the state

1) Classical liberal individualism: women no longer should be embedded in family life, but should be treated just like men

## b. But second message:

- 1) Stresses importance of systems of social connection, obligation, and commitment—traditional family life—are essential to maintenance of social order
- 2) Traditional hierarchy should be maintained, too
  - a) Women to be subservient nurturers, and men are the financial heads of households
- 3) Logic of classical conservatism

# 21A.230J / WGS.456J The Contemporary American Family Spring 2004

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.