FAMILY VIOLENCE

Read:

Read: Goodrum, Umberson, Anderson: The Batterer's View of the Self and

Others in Domestic Violence. Hutter 325-340

Johnson and Ferraro: Research on Domestic Violence in the 1990s:

Making Distinctions. Skolnick 493-517.

Straus: Ten Myths that Perpetuate Corporal Punishment. Hutter 341-350.

- I. In the 1960s and 1970s there was an awakening of concern in child abuse, wife abuse, and incest
 - A. Why?
 - 1. In the last 25 years people came to speak of a "crisis" in the family
 - 2. The family became more permissive and child-centered, probably with less toleration of parental abuse of authority, especially father's
 - 3. The women's movement had a slogan: "the personal is political"
 - a. Family began to be examined as a structure of domination
 - 4. This period is also characterized by more self-exposure: commercial, personal, artistic
 - 5. Decline in religiously based moralism
 - B. Omissions that were left out of these concerns:
 - 1. Other kinds of violence in partnered relationships
 - a. Debate about gendered rates of violence discussed in the Johnson reading
 - b. Violence in gay and lesbian relationships
 - c. Began to be addressed in the 1980s and 1990s
 - 2. Sibling violence
 - 3. Violence against the elderly

- 4. Child *neglect*
 - a. Poverty, inadequate care, inadequate educational institutions, etc.
- C. Problems with many of the early studies of violence in the family
 - 1. No attention to the *history* of family violence in Western civilization
 - 2. A weak understanding of:
 - a. Family—its structure, dynamics
 - b. Gender
 - c. Power
 - d. Attention to language
 - 1) Exercise: define "violence"
 - a) Unwanted physical injury perpetrated by another person
 - b) But a child does not want what the dentist does to her: is this violence?
 - c) We have to qualify: injury that causes harm
 - d) Executing men and women causes serious harm; is this violence?
 - 2) Point: *authorized* violence is usually not defined as violence
 - a) And who gets to say what is authorized and what is not has the power to define violence
 - b) These definitions vary over time, cross-culturally, and within a given culture at a given time
 - c) Readings show that men and women don't always see eye to eye; people don't agree about "violence" with respect to children (Straus)

- e. Remember: violence isn't "natural"; it's a social fact, created by society
 - I am not saying that bodies don't get mutilated, tortured, killed
 - 2) But that who suffers, and when it's seen as unnecessary, illegal, immoral suffering—and when it's seen as necessary, moral and legal—is determined within a cultural and social context

D. Let's look at power

- 1. All violence must be seen in terms of power relations
- 2. Families are by their very nature characterized by gender and generational inequities
- 3. We have a gendered society in which male power dominates
 - a. We are also democratic and egalitarian in ideology
 - b. This creates contradictions, sometimes conflicts
 - 1) As we saw in the men Arendell interviewed when talking about their divorce and post-divorce lives
 - 2) And in the men described by Goodrum et al. and Johnson and Ferraro
- 4. Yet violence occurs in intimate relationships that's only indirectly linked to asymmetrical heterosexual power ideologies
 - a. So, as Johnson and Ferraro point out, we need to look at meanings, motives, etc., in other kinds of relationships

II. Child Abuse

- A. Earlier reports of it were done by charity workers, professional social workers
 - 1. SPCA (Society for the Protection and Care of Animals) was founded earlier; first case to be won of child abuse punishment was reported to the SPCA

- a. Tells us about the strength of patriarchal authority at the time
- b. Father-husbands could punish children, could physically punish wives: as Straus says, common law gave the right to the husband to "physically chastise an errant wife"
- 2. Physicians got into the act in early 1960s
 - a. Henry Kempe, a physician, in 1962 described the "battered child syndrome"
 - 1) Offered a narrow definition—not surprisingly
 - 2) Prior to this, physicians had been reluctant to admit there were such patterns
- B. Psychological explanations of child abuse and critiques of them:
 - 1. These are very popular
 - a. Abusive parents are sometimes seen as *pathological, deviant, neurotic, psychotic*
 - 1) Fits with our tendency to dismiss any kind of pathological behavior by working hard to define perpetrators as "not like us"
 - b. Variation on this theme: they are *immature individuals* who want the child to behave like a grownup
 - Idea is that these individuals interpret the child's inability to control himself as willful, hostile, excessively demanding
 - c. Another variation: *role reversal*: parents' desire for love and approval from the child, as though child were the parent
 - d. *Cycle-of-abuse hypothesis*: the generations repeat
 - 1) Parents who were deprived of nurturing go on to repeat the behavior
 - 2) Very popular: read the critique in Johnson and Ferraro

C. Societal explanations offered in the literature

- 1. Sweeping political/social ones:
 - a. The argument that child abuse cannot be eliminated unless our inegalitarian, competitive, irrational, hierarchical society is changed into an egalitarian, cooperative, rational, humane one
 - b. That we live in a violent society that teaches:
 - 1) It's OK to hit those we love
 - 2) It's OK for more powerful people to hit less powerful ones
 - 3) It's OK to use hitting to achieve some end
 - 4) It's OK to hit as an end in itself
- 2. Themes in this type of societal explanations:
 - a. Rejection of uniquely psychological explanations
 - b. Challenge the notion that home and family are havens of tranquility
 - 1) The family is *not* always a peaceful haven (a romantic notion), but in fact sometimes a "cradle of violence"
 - a) Hardly the harmonious institution we idealize it as
 - 2) It can be a place in which violence and hate are felt, expressed, and learned as consistently as love
 - a) Why? Because it is where intimacy is enacted, and one which can be supportive or destructive
 - b) E.g., murder victims...more are members of the murderer's family than any other setting
 - c. Arguments, like Straus's, that we must condemn all physical punishment in child rearing
- 3. Gender factors

- a. Child abuse is the only form of family violence in which women are assailants as often as men
 - 1) But when we compare amount of time mother spends with the child to father's time, fathers hit far more often
- b. Aggression socialization as part of masculinity
- III. The attention to, research on, wife beating
 - A. Critiques of early research on wife-battering
 - 1. Critique of psychological explanations that often identified problems of the victim as the cause of her battering
 - a. And used clinical language when speaking of batterers
 - 1) "Temporarily insane"
 - b. But neither wife beaters nor their victims are necessarily crazier than non-violent adults
 - 1) As Goodrum et al. point out, violent men choose to behave in this way
 - c. The study of the family as an institution again

IV. Incest

- A. Primarily a relation between older men and young girls
 - 1. Some between men and boys
 - 2. If incest occurs between children of similar ages, it's frequently viewed as nonproblematic by all who know about it
 - a. When sibling incest is a problem, it's often because the sister is younger by several years
 - 3. Mother-son incest is virtually nonexistent in this country; but is a problem in Japan
 - 4. Incest is not at all rare

- a. Some figures report that up to 1 out of every 4 women in the USA has been a victim of sexual molestation by the time she reaches 18, and 1 out of 10 are incest victims
- 5. When it's between older men and girls it's usually experienced by the girls as coercive and assaultive
- 6. Child sexual abuse in general: 97% male perpetrators, 92% of the victims are females
 - a. Incest follows this pattern

B. Earlier analyses

- 1. Reports of incest were often dismissed by adults as fantasy on the part of the child
 - a. Ignored father-daughter incest cases
 - b. A professional clinician can usually tell the differences between fantasies and actualities in incest cases
- 2. The collusive mother was discussed a lot—mother-blaming
 - a. Similar to victim-blaming in wife-battering
 - b. Frigidity was spoken of as the cause: failure as mother, as wife
- 3. Or the child's seductiveness toward her father
- 4. To the extent the father allocated any responsibility he was seen as immature, unable to communicate, etc.
 - a. Again, pathologizing, using clinical language
 - b. Generally, very little curiosity has been shown towards him
 - c. Again, men don't want to talk, so most research focused on other parties
- 5. "The best kept secret" was so well kept because it's extremely embarrassing to all men
- 6. Incest victims do try to fight back

- a. But when they tell clergymen, teachers, neighbors, all too often they are rebuffed, disbelieved, ignored, humiliated
- b. Illustrated in the current movie "Monster"
- 7. Often the father feels no contrition
- 8. These fathers display an overwhelming lack of parental and protective feelings toward their own children
 - a. If the mother is ill or incapacitated, they don't take on nurturing functions to make up for mothers' incapacities, as mothers would have done in the reverse situation
 - b. If their wives are unavailable, then their daughters should be
- 9. Often do not understand destructiveness of incest, express no parental or nurturant feelings for the victim, and blame her or their wife
 - a. Tend to have had very little parenting experience
- 10. Clearly the father is responsible, and if he doesn't feel responsible
 - a. He hasn't internalized the sense of his child as one to be nurtured

21A.230J / WGS.456J The Contemporary American Family Spring 2004

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.