Extrinsic and intrinsic factors control the genesis of amacrine and cone cells in the rat retina

- Michael J. Belliveau and Constance L. Cepko (1999)
- Presented by Bo Morgan on March 7, 2004.



- Do postmitotic cells influence progenitor cell fate decisions?
- Secreted factors shown to stimulate or inhibit rod cell development.
- Non-neuronal cells show feedback mechanisms from fated cells to the stem cell population.

Why focus on the retina?

Diagram removed for copyright reasons.

- Evidence for particular order of production of cell types
- Evidence for particular portions of cell types

How is prenatal retina progenitor cell fate affected by different surrounding cell populations?



- Which retinal cell types do the progenitor cells ultimately become?
- Three types of culture preparation methods:
- 1. Dissociate and reaggregate with retinal postnatal cells
- 2. Explant to culture with postnatal retinal extract
- 3. Put progenitor cells into low density culture before mixing with postnatal cells.

Methods Overview



- [³H]thymidine labeling of E16 cells to select progenitor population
- Dissociation and Reaggregation of cultures (E16, P0 and E16:P0)
- 2 control solutions (E16 and P0) and 1 mixture solution (E16:P0) are prepared.

^{[3}H]thymidine labeling of prenatal cells



Source: Belliveau, M. J., and C. L. Cepko. "Extrinsic and Intrinsic Factors Control the Genesis of Amacrine and Cone Cells in the Rat Retina." *Development* 126 (1999): 555-566.

Courtesy of The Company of Biologists. Used with permission.

- B. [³H]thymidine-positive: cells dividing during [³H]thymidine pulse
- C. DAPI-positive: cell nuclei

D. VC1.1-positive: amacrine cells

Prenatal amacrine progenitor cells are affected by postnatal retina cells



- Ratio of prenatal amacrine progenitor cells conforms to postnatal progenitor amacrine cell ratio.
- VC1.1, mGluR2: amacrine cell indicators

Prenatal photoreceptor progenitor cells are affected by postnatal retina cells



- Percentage of labeled progenitors with photoreceptor fate rises.
- Photoreceptor fate intrisically already established as cone.
- Perhaps some of these cones would have become amacrine cells in the absence of postnatal influence.

10 days later С 80 % Marker+ / Heavily Labeled **15 DIV** 70 60 50 40 30 Recoverin 20 Cone Opsins

Source: Belliveau, M. J., and C. L. Cepko. "Extrinsic and Intrinsic Factors Control the Genesis of Amacrine and Cone Cells in the Rat Retina." Development 126 (1999): 555-566. Courtesy of The Company of Biologists. Used with permission.

E16:P0

PO

Rhodopsin

- % photoreceptors extrinsically signaled to increase
- % rods not affected

10

0

E16

• % cones extrinsically signaled to double

Closer to in vivo



- P0 extract applied to E16 explant tissue.
- No dissociation or reaggregation performed.

No cell death or proliferation



- Same results with and without extract.
- Cell death \rightarrow lower grey curve
- Cell proliferation \rightarrow leftward grey curve.



- Flourescence -activated cell sorter
- \bullet Brightest and dimmest 12% collected

FACS machine test



Source: Belliveau, M. J., and C. L. Cepko. "Extrinsic and Intrinsic Factors Control the Genesis of Amacrine and Cone Cells in the Rat Retina." *Development* 126 (1999): 555-566. Courtesy of The Company of Biologists. Used with permission.

• Amacrine cells are in VC1.1-enriched and not in VC1.1depleted cultures.

Negative feedback between amacrine cells



Source: Belliveau, M. J., and C. L. Cepko. "Extrinsic and Intrinsic Factors Control the Genesis of Amacrine and Cone Cells in the Rat Retina." *Development* 126 (1999): 555-566. Courtesy of The Company of Biologists. Used with permission.

• P0 amacrine cells inhibit E16 progenitors from becoming amacrine cells.

Sensitive time period



Source: Belliveau, M. J., and C. L. Cepko. "Extrinsic and Intrinsic Factors Control the Genesis of Amacrine and Cone Cells in the Rat Retina." *Development* 126 (1999): 555-566. Courtesy of The Company of Biologists. Used with permission.

• E16 progenitor cells are affected by extrinsic signaling for only about 4-10 hours.

Without amacrine negative feedback



- Low density culture prevents extrinsic signaling.
- Amacrine cells % rises without extrinsic signaling from other cells.

No cell death or proliferation



- Graphs are roughly equal.
- Low density culture did not cause proliferation or cell death.

Hypothesized Model



- Extrinsic regulation of intrinsic fate biases
- M_A : Intrinsically biased to become an amacrine cell
- M_C : Intrinsically biased to become a cone cell.
- This could be a process which regulates the production of each retinal cell type.

Observations

- Postnatal rat retina provides an extrinsic signal that inhibits the production of amacrine cells from prenatal progenitors.
- Postnatal rat retina provides an extrinsic signal that affects the production of cone cells.
- Intrinsic biases of prenatal cells limit the effects of extrinsic signaling from postnatal cells.

Future Research



- Do postnatal amacrine cells directly signal amacrine biased progenitor cells to switch to a cone bias state?
- Is there a second signal that promotes a state transition from M_C , cone bias state, to M_R , rod bias state?
- If so, what gives this signal?

Questions