14.381 Recitation 1

Joonhwan Lee

September 14, 2013

1 Modes of Convergence

1.1 Almost Sure Convergence

Definition 1. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random variables. Then $X_n \stackrel{a.s}{\to} X$ if

$$P(\{\omega : \lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(\omega) = X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

Remark. Almost sure convergence means a sequence of functions $X_n(\omega)$ converges point-wise to $X(\omega)$ except for some measure zero set.

Theorem. $X_n \stackrel{a.s}{\to} X$ if and only if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} P(|X_k - X| \le \epsilon \ \forall k \ge m) = 1$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} Proof. \ (\Rightarrow) \ \text{Let} \ \Omega_0 = \{\omega : \lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(\omega) = X(\omega)\}. \ \text{Suppose} \ P(\Omega_0) = 1. \ \text{Let} \ \epsilon > 0 \ \text{be given.} \\ \text{Let} \ A_m = \cap_{k=m}^{\infty} \{|X_k - X| \leq \epsilon\}. \ \text{Then} \ A_m \subset A_{m+1} \ \forall m \ \text{and} \ \lim_{m \to \infty} P(A_m) = P(\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m) \ \text{by} \\ \text{continuity of probability measure. For each} \ \omega_0, \ \text{there exists} \ m(\omega_0) \ \text{such that} \ |X_k(\omega_0) - X(\omega_0)| \leq \epsilon \ \text{for} \\ \text{all} \ k \geq m(\omega_0). \ \text{Therefore}, \ \forall \omega_0 \in \Omega_0, \ \omega_0 \in A_m \ \text{for some} \ m \ \text{and} \ \text{we can conclude that} \ \Omega_0 \subset \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \\ \text{and} \ 1 = P(\Omega_0) \leq P(\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m) = \lim_{m \to \infty} P(A_m) = 1. \\ (\Leftarrow) \ \text{Let} \ A_m(\frac{1}{n}) \ \text{be a set defined above with given} \ \epsilon = \frac{1}{n}. \ \text{Suppose that} \ \lim_{m \to \infty} P(A_m(\frac{1}{n})) = 1 \ \text{for} \\ \text{all} \ n. \ \text{By continuity, we have} \ P(A(\frac{1}{n})) = 1 \ \text{where} \ A(\frac{1}{n}) = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m(\frac{1}{n}). \ \text{Let} \ A = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A(\frac{1}{n}). \ \text{Then} \\ \text{by the continuity, } P(A) = 1 \ \text{because} \ A(\frac{1}{n})^* \ \text{are monotone decreasing sequence of sets. Therefore,} \\ \forall \omega_0 \in A \ \text{and} \ \forall \epsilon > 0, \ \text{there exists} \ M \ \text{such that} \ |X_m(\omega_0) - X(\omega_0)| \leq \epsilon \ \text{for all} \ m \geq M. \ \text{We conclude that} \\ P(\{\omega : \lim_{m \to \infty} X_m(\omega) = X(\omega)\}) = 1. \end{array}$

1.2 L^p -convergence

Definition 2. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random variables. Then, $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ if

$$E|X_n|^p < \infty, E|X|^p$$
 and $\lim_{n \to \infty} E|X_n - X|^p = 0$

Remark. We often use the case when p = 2 because L^2 -space is an inner product space and many interesting results can be derived.

1.3 Convergence in probability

Definition 3. $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n - X| \le \epsilon) = 1 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

1.4 Convergence in distribution

Definition 4. Let $\{F_{X_n}\}$ and F_X be distribution functions of random variables $\{X_n\}$ and X. $X_n \Rightarrow X$ if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x) \quad \forall x \in C(F_X)$$

where $C(F_X)$ denotes the set of all points where F_X is continuous.

1.5 Relations of modes of convergence

- 1. $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s} X$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ (Obvious by the Theorem above)
- 2. $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ (Use Chebyshev inequality or its variants)
- 3. $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ implies $X_n \Rightarrow X$ (proof) Let $x \in C(F_X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We have,

$$F_{X_n}(x) = P[X_n \le x] = P[\{X_n \le x\} \cap \{|X_n - X| < \epsilon\}] + P[\{X_n \le x\} \cap \{|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon\}]$$

$$\leq P[X \le x + \epsilon] + P[|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon]$$

Hence, $\limsup F_{X_n}(x) \leq F_X(x+\epsilon)$ because the latter term converges to 0 by in probability convergence. Similarly,

$$1 - F_{X_n}(x) = P[X_n \ge x] = P[\{X_n \ge x\} \cap \{|X_n - X| < \epsilon\}] + P[\{X_n \ge x\} \cap \{|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon\}]$$

$$\leq P[X \ge x - \epsilon] + P[|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon]$$

Hence, $\liminf F_{X_n}(x) \ge F_X(x-\epsilon)$ and we conclude that $\lim F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$ by continuity of F_X at x.

4. $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s} X$ does not necessarily imply $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$.

(counterexample) Let X_n be random variables defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) where $\Omega = (0, 1)$, \mathcal{F} is Borel sets on (0, 1) and P is the Lebesgue measure. Let $X_n = n^{\frac{1}{p}} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le \omega \le \frac{1}{n}\}}(\omega)$. Then $\forall \omega \in (0, 1)$ there exists N such that $X_n(\omega) = 0$ for all n > N. Thus, X_n converges to 0 everywhere and obviously $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. However, $E|X_n|^p = \int_0^{1/n} nd\omega = 1$ for all n and we can see that X_n does not converge in L^p to 0.

- 5. $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ does not necessarily imply $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s} X$. (counterexample) Let $Y_{k,j} = 1_{\{\frac{j-1}{k} < \omega < \frac{j}{k}\}}$ where $k \ge 1, 1 \le j \le k$. Let X_n be the lexicographic ordering of $Y_{k,j}$. That is, $X_1 = Y_{1,1}, X_2 = Y_{2,1}, X_3 = Y_{2,2}, X_4 = Y_{3,1}$ and so on. Let k_n be the corresponding value of k for given X_n . Then it is easy to see that $E|X_n|^p = \frac{1}{k_n}$. Thus, $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$. However, given any $\omega \in (0,1), X_n$ does not converge to 0 because $X_n(\omega) = 1$ infinitely often.
- 6. Two counterexamples above directly imply that the converses of both (1) and (2) are not true.
- 7. $X_n \Rightarrow X$ does not necessarily imply $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$ (counterexample) Let our probability space have sample space $\Omega = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ equipped with Lebesgue measure. Let $X(\omega) = \omega$ and $X_n = -X$. It is easy to show that both X and -X has uniform distribution on $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and therefore $X_n \Rightarrow X$. However, given $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $P(|X_n - X| < \epsilon) = P(\{\omega : 2|\omega| > \frac{1}{2}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for all n. We conclude that X_n does not converge in probability to X.

2 Limit Theorems and Delta Method

2.1 Law of Large Numbers

Theorem. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. Suppose $E|X_1| < \infty$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i} \stackrel{a.s}{\to}\mu$$

where $\mu = E[X_1]$.

Remark. This is known as Strong law of large numbers. From the first section, we can see that it directly implies the weak law of large numbers. i.e.

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i} \stackrel{p}{\to} \mu$$

We can considerably relax the i.i.d. condition and there are many versions of both SLLN and WLLN. However, some kind of independence structure is essential for any law of large numbers.

2.2 Central Limit Theorem

Theorem. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. Suppose $E|X_1|^2 < \infty$. Let $\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i$, $\mu = E[X_1]$ and $\sigma^2 = E[X_1^2] - E[X_1]^2$. Then we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\frac{\overline{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma}) \Rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

where N(0,1) denotes a random variable whose distribution function is

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2}) dx$$

2.3 Delta Method

Lemma. (Taylor Expansion) Let g(x) be a r-times continuously differentiable function in the neighborhood of a. Then we have

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{r} \frac{g^{(n)}(a)(x-a)^n}{n!} + R_r(x-a)$$

where $R_r(h) = o(h^r)$ or in other words $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{R_r(h)}{h^r} = 0.$

Definition. For a sequence of random variable $\{X_n\}$ and a positive sequence a_n , $X_n = O_p(a_n)$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists C and N such that $P(|\frac{X_n}{a_n}| > C) < \epsilon$ for all n > N.

$$X = o_p(a_n)$$
 if $\frac{X}{a_n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

Lemma.
$$O_p(a_n)o_p(b_n) = o_p(a_nb_n)$$

Proof. Let $X_n = O_p(a_n)$ and $Y_n = o_p(b_n)$. Let $\epsilon, \eta > 0$ be given. Choose C such that $P(|\frac{X_n}{a_n}| > C) < \frac{\eta}{2}$. Choose N such that $P(|\frac{Y_n}{b_n}| < \frac{\epsilon}{C}) < \frac{\eta}{2}$ for all n > N. Then we have,

$$P(|\frac{X_nY_n}{a_nb_n}| < \epsilon) \le P(C|\frac{Y_n}{b_n}| < \epsilon) + P(|\frac{X_n}{a_n}| > C) \le \eta \quad \forall n > N$$

and we conclude that $\frac{X_n Y_n}{a_n b_n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

Lemma. If $X_n \Rightarrow X$, then $X_n = O_p(1)$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since X has a distribution function F_X and $\lim_{x\to\infty} F_X(x) = 1$, there exists C such that $P(|X| > C) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ where F_X is continuous at C. By the convergence, we can choose N such that $|F_{X_n}(C) - F_X(C)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all n > N. Thus we conclude that $P(|X_n| > C) < \epsilon$ for all n > N.

Theorem. (Delta Method) Let $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) \Rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2)$ and g(y) be a continuously differentiable in the neighborhood of μ with $g'(\mu) \neq 0$. Then we have,

$$\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\mu)) \Rightarrow N(0, g'(\mu)^2 \sigma^2)$$

Proof. Using Taylor expansion, we can get

$$\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\mu)) = g'(\mu)\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) + \sqrt{n}R_1(Y_n - \mu)$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that $\sqrt{nR_1(Y_n - \mu)} = o_p(1)$. Let $m(h) = \frac{R_1(h)}{h}$. By Taylor theorem, we have $\lim_{h\to 0} m(h) = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|m(h)| < \epsilon \quad \forall h < \delta$$

We have,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|m(Y_n - \mu)| < \epsilon) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} P(|Y_n - \mu| < \delta) = 1$$

by WLLN. Thus, $m(Y_n - \mu) = o_p(1)$ and by the lemmas above, we can get the desired result.

Remark. For o_p and O_p notations, equality (=) means logical implication from left to right. So one can say $o(1) = o_p(1)$ for example. You can derive other elementary results using o_p and O_p notations easily.

3 From Class

3.1 Transformations

Theorem. (1-to-1 transformation) Let X be a continuous random variable with distribution function $F_X(x)$ defined on \mathcal{X} and let $f_X(x) = F'(x)$. Let g(x) be a continuously differentiable strictly increasing function. Let Y = g(X) and $\mathcal{Y} = g(\mathcal{X})$. Then we have

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} f_X(g^{-1}(y))\frac{dg^{-1}(y)}{dy} & \forall y \in \mathcal{Y} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that $F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(g(X) \le y) = P(X \le g^{-1}(y)) = F_X(g^{-1}(y))$. Thus we have,

$$\frac{F_Y(y + \Delta_y) - F_Y(y)}{\Delta_y} = \frac{F_X(g^{-1}(y + \Delta_y)) - F_X(g^{-1}(y))}{\Delta_y}$$
$$= \frac{F_X(g^{-1}(y + \Delta_y)) - F_X(g^{-1}(y))}{\Delta_x} \cdot \frac{\Delta_x}{\Delta_y}$$

where $\Delta_x = g^{-1}(y + \Delta_y) - g^{-1}(y)$. Taking $\Delta_y \to 0$, we get the desired result by differentiability of g.

Remark. It is obvious that you just need to change the sign for a strictly decreasing function.

Theorem. (K to 1 transformation) Suppose there exists a partition, A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_K of \mathcal{X} and $f_X(x)$ is continuous on each A_i . Let g(x) be a function such that each $g_i(x) \equiv g(x) \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in A_i\}}(x)$'s are strictly monotone on A_i and continuously differentiable. Let Y = g(X). Then we have

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{i=1}^K f_X(g_i^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{dg_i^{-1}(y)}{dy} \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{y \in g(A_i)\}}(y)$$

Example. $(\chi^2(1) \text{ random variable})$ Let $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2)$. Let $Y = X^2$. Then we have

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(\sqrt{y})\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} + f_X(\sqrt{y})\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}y), \quad y \in (0,\infty)$$

and get the $\chi^2(1)$ density.

(log-normal variable) Now let $Y = \exp(X)$. Then we have

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(\log y)\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\log y)^2), \quad y \in (0,\infty)$$

and get the standard log-normal density.

3.2 Short Note on Order Statistics

Definition. Let $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a random sample. (which means X_i 's are i.i.d random variables) Order statistic $(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \ldots, X^{(n)})$ is the ascending ordering of the random sample. i.e. $X^{(1)} \leq X^{(2)} \leq X^{(3)} \leq \ldots \leq X^{(n)}$. rth order statistic is $X^{(r)}$.

Remark. Note that the order statistic is a significant data reduction. There are n! different random samples that can generate the same order statistic. In general, it is often the most we can get without losing information. For parametric families, we can do much better than order statistic. (See sufficiency part.)

Theorem. Let X_i has distribution function F(x) and X_i 's are continuous random variable. Then we have

$$f_{X^{(r)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} f_X(x) F(x)^{r-1} (1-F(x))^{n-r}$$

Proof. We consider $F_{X^{(r)}}(x + \Delta) - F_{X^{(r)}}(x) = P(X^{(r)} \in (x, x + \Delta])$. Note that it is equal to the probability that r - 1 X_i 's are smaller than x, 1 X_i is in $(x, x + \Delta]$ and n - r X_i 's are greater than $x + \Delta$. That is,

$$P(X^{(r)} \in (x, x + \Delta]) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!1!(n-r)!} F(x)^{r-1} (F(x+\Delta) - F(x))(1 - F(x+\Delta))^{n-r}$$

Therefore, dividing by Δ and taking $\Delta \to 0$, we can have the desired result.

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from $U[0, \lambda n]$. Then the density function of $X^{(1)}$ is

$$f_{X^{(1)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} f_X(x)(1-F(x))^n = n \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda n} (1-\frac{x}{\lambda n})^n = (1-\frac{x}{\lambda n})^n$$

Note that as $n \to \infty$, the density function converges to $\frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-\frac{x}{\lambda})$. Think of each X_i to be life span of an independent component which can fail at any time before λn . Then it makes sense to think $X^{(1)}$ as the life span(or time before failure) of the machine built with those components. This explains why exponential distribution is often used for duration models.

14.381 Statistical Method in Economics Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.