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## 1 Example: optimal growth model

### 1.1 Characterizing the policy

We introduce the neoclassical optimal growth model and see how to derive properties of optimal investment and consumption from what we learned so far.

Identify $x$ with the current capital stock, let

$$
f(k)=F(k, 1)+(1-\delta) k
$$

which is output plus the undepreciated part of the capital stock (NB: the $F(k, 1)$ here is not the $F(x, y)$ in general notation, but it's ok because we'll use $f(k)$ from now on).

Let $U$ be the utility function. We begin with an assumption that ensures consumers never throw away consumption goods:

Assumption 1. The function $U(c)$ is increasing.
Then they consume $f(k)-k^{\prime}$ and the per-period payoff is

$$
U\left(f(k)-k^{\prime}\right) .
$$

We will assume throughout that the following value function is well defined for all $k_{0}>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(k_{0}\right) \equiv \max & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} U\left(f\left(k_{t}\right)-k_{t+1}\right) \\
& 0 \leq k_{t+1} \leq f\left(k_{t}\right), k_{0} \text { given }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(V(0)\right.$ may not be well defined as a max and $\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} V(k)=-\infty$ if $\lim _{c \rightarrow 0^{+}} U(c)=$ $-\infty)$.

We then can use the principle of optimality (in one direction) to argue that $V$ must satisfy the Bellman equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(k)=\max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq f(k)} U\left(f(k)-k^{\prime}\right)+\beta V\left(k^{\prime}\right) . \tag{FE}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumption 2. The functions $f(k)$ and $U(c)$ are continuous, differentiable on the interior of their domain and strictly concave.

The domain of $f$ is always $R_{+}$. The domain of $U$ can be $R_{+}$or $R_{++}$. That is, in some cases we can have utility functions not defined for $c=0$, with $U(c) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $c \rightarrow 0$ (e.g., when $U(c)=\log c$ ).

From concavity we have the following:
Claim $1 V(k)$ is strictly concave.
Proof. This can be proved directly from the sequence problem. Take two initial conditions $k_{0}$ and $k_{0}^{\prime}$ and check that the sequence $\left\{\alpha k_{t}+(1-\alpha) k_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$ is feasible and yields utility higher than the weighted average of $\left\{k_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$.

We now have some useful facts on concave functions (Fact 1 we have seen before but it's useful to remember).

Fact 1. If $h(x)$ is a concave function on a convex set $X \subset R^{n}$, then for each $x_{0} \in X$ the function $h$ has at least one subgradient $p$ such that

$$
h(x)-h\left(x_{0}\right) \leq p \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right) \text { for all } x \in X
$$

The set of all possible subgradients of $h$ at $x_{0}$ is called the subdifferential of $h$ and we denote with $\partial h\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Fact 2. If $h$ is a function of one variable (i.e., $X$ is a convex subset of $R$ ) then for each $x$ the subgradient $\partial h(x)$ is a closed interval and is decreasing in $x$ in the following sense:

$$
\text { if } p \in \partial h(x) \text { and } p^{\prime} \in \partial h\left(x^{\prime}\right) \text { then } p^{\prime} \leq p \text { if } x^{\prime}>x
$$

and the mapping $\partial h(x)$ is upper hemicontinuous.
Fact 3. If $h$ has a maximum at $x_{0} \in X$ then $0 \in \partial h\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Fact 3 is a generalization of the fact that the derivative of a function differentiable at a local maximum is zero (and it includes the possibility of corner solutions).

But then using the last fact we can characterize the problem (FE) as follows: if the solution is interior we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}\left(f(k)-k^{\prime}\right) \in \beta \partial V\left(k^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now make an assumption to rule out the corner at zero consumption and to get a unique (and continuous) policy function $k^{\prime}=g(k)$.

Assumption 3. The utility function satisfies the Inada condition

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow 0} U^{\prime}(c)=\infty
$$

A graphical argument shows that there is a unique $k^{\prime}<f(k)$ which satisfies (1). This is our policy function $g(k)$.

A graphical argument also shows the following:

Claim 2 The policy $g(k)$ is continuous and non-decreasing in $k$. Optimal consumption $f(k)-g(k)$ is non-decreasing in $k$.

We can now prove a Benveniste-Scheinkman type of result:
Claim 3 The value function is differentiable for all $k>0$ with

$$
V^{\prime}(k)=U^{\prime}(f(k)-g(k)) f^{\prime}(k) .
$$

Proof. If $g(k)>0$ we have an interior optimum and we can use B-S argument. Since $g(k)$ is non-decreasing we can only have $g(k)=0$ on some interval $\left[0, k_{1}\right]$. On $\left(0, k_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
V(k)=U(f(k))+\beta V(0),
$$

which is immediately differentiable. Moreover, we can show that the right and left derivative of $V$ exist at $k_{1}$ and are equal (on the right use B-S argument, on the left our second argument!). So the function $V$ is differentiable for all $k>0$.

But then we can rule out the possibility of $g(k)=0$ for any $k>0$. For this we need one more assumption:

Assumption 4. The production function satisfies $f(0)=0$ (no output with no capital) and $\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} f^{\prime}(k)>0$ (something can be produced!).

Take any $k>0$. Notice that $f\left(k^{\prime}\right)-g\left(k^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k^{\prime}=0$, using Assumption 3 there must exist some $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
U^{\prime}(f(k)-\varepsilon)<\beta V^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=U^{\prime}(f(\varepsilon)-g(\varepsilon)) f^{\prime}(\varepsilon)
$$

This condition, together with concavity of $U$ and $V$ implies that there is a $g(k)>\varepsilon$ that satisfies the optimality condition

$$
U^{\prime}(f(k)-g(k))=\beta V^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime}\right)=U^{\prime}\left(f\left(k^{\prime}\right)-g\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since the optimum is unique $g(k)>0$ for all $k>0$. We have proved the following:

Claim 4 The optimal policy is positive for all $k>0$.

### 1.2 Steady state and stability

First we look for a steady state. Then we will argue that there is a unique steady state with positive capital. Then that it is stable.

Definition: A steady state is a $k^{*}$ such that $k^{*}=g\left(k^{*}\right)$.
There is always a steady state at $k^{*}=0$, since then 0 is the only feasible continuation.

If there is a steady state with $k^{*}>0$ it must satisfy

$$
U^{\prime}\left(f\left(k^{*}\right)-k^{*}\right)=\beta V^{\prime}\left(k^{*}\right)=\beta U^{\prime}\left(f\left(k^{*}\right)-k^{*}\right) f^{\prime}\left(k^{*}\right) .
$$

This (and $U^{\prime}>0$ ) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta f^{\prime}\left(k^{*}\right)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumption 5. The production function satisfies

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(k)=0
$$

This implies that a solution to (2) exists. Concavity of $V$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{\prime}(k)>V^{\prime}(g(k)) \Longleftrightarrow k<g(k) \\
& V^{\prime}(k)<V^{\prime}(g(k)) \Longleftrightarrow k>g(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

But if $k>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}(k) & =U^{\prime}(f(k)-k) f^{\prime}(k) \\
V^{\prime}(g(k)) & =U^{\prime}(f(k)-k) / \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(k)>1 / \beta \Longleftrightarrow V^{\prime}(k)>V^{\prime}(g(k)) \\
& f^{\prime}(k)<1 / \beta \Longleftrightarrow V^{\prime}(k)<V^{\prime}(g(k))
\end{aligned}
$$

and concavity of $f$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k<k^{*} \Longleftrightarrow f^{\prime}(k)>1 / \beta \\
& k>k^{*} \Longleftrightarrow f^{\prime}(k)<1 / \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

So we conclude that if $k>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & <k^{*} \Longleftrightarrow g(k)>k \\
k & >k^{*} \Longleftrightarrow g(k)<k
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the steady state $k^{*}$ is stable.
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