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## Today's Topics

## Functionals

$>$ Objects representing executable code
Higher-order functions

- Functions that accept functions as arguments or return them as results
Domain-specific languages
> PCAP: primitives, combination, abstraction pattern


## Representing Code with Data

## Consider a datatype representing language syntax

$>$ Formula is the language of propositional logic formulas
$>$ a Formula value represents program code in a data structure; i.e. new And(new $\operatorname{Var}(" x ")$, new $\operatorname{Var}(" y "))$
has the same semantic meaning as the Java code $x \& \& y$
$>$ but a Formula value is a first-class object

- first-class: a value that can be passed, returned, stored, manipulated
- the Java expression " $x \& \& y$ " is not first-class


## Representing Code as Data

## Recall the visitor pattern

$>$ A visitor represents a function over a datatype

- e.g. new SizeVisitor() represents size : List $\rightarrow$ int
public class SizeVisitor<E> implements ListVisitor<E,Integer> \{ public Integer visit(Empty<E>I) \{ return 0; \} public Integer visit(Cons<E>I) \{ return $1+$ I.rest().accept(this); \}
\}
A visitor represents code as a first-class object, too
$>$ A visitor is an object that can be passed around, returned, and stored
$>$ But it's also a function that can be invoked
Today's lecture will see more examples of code as data


## Today' s Problem: Music

## Interesting music tends to have a lot of repetition

$>$ Let's look at rounds, canons, fugues
> A familiar simple round is "Row Row Row Your Boat": one voice starts, other voices enter after a delay
Row row row your boat, gently down the stream, merrily merrily ... Row row row your boat, gently down the stream...
> Bach was a master of this kind of music

- Recommended reading: Godel Escher Bach, by Douglas Hofstadter


## Recall our MIDI piano from early lectures

$>$ A song could be represented by Java code doing a sequence of calls on a state machine: machine.play(E); machine.play(D); machine.play(C); ...
$>$ We want to capture the code that operates this kind of machine as first-class data objects that we can manipulate, transform, and repeat easily

## Music Data Type

## Let's start by representing simple tunes

Music $=$ Note(duration:double, pitch:Pitch, instr:Instrument)

+ Rest(duration:double)
+ Concat(m1:Music, m2:Music)
$>$ duration is measured in beats
$>$ Pitch represents note frequency (e.g. C, D, E, F, G; essentially the keys on the piano keyboard)
$>$ Instrument represents the instruments available on a MIDI synthesizer


## Design questions

$>$ is this a tree or a list? what would it look like defined the other way?
> what is the "empty" Music object?

- it's usually good for a data type to be able to represent nothing
- avoid null
$>$ what are the rep invariants for Note, Rest, Concat?


## A Few of Music's Operations

notes : String x Instrument $\rightarrow$ Music
requires string is in a subset of abc music notation e.g. notes("E D C D | E E E2 |", PIANO)

1 beat note 2-beat note

```
abc notation can also encode sharps \& flats, higher/lower octaves
```

duration: Music $\rightarrow$ double
returns total duration of music in beats
e.g. duration(Concat(m1, m2)) = duration(m1) + duration(m2)
transpose : Music x int $\rightarrow$ Music returns music with all notes shifted up or down in pitch by the given number of semitones (i.e., steps on a piano keyboard)
play: Music $\rightarrow$ void effects plays the music
all these operations also have precondition that parameters are non-null

## Implementation Choices

## Creators can be constructors or factory methods

> Java constructors are limited: interfaces can' t have them, and constructor can't choose which runtime type to return

- new C() must always be an object of type C,
- so we can't have a constructor Music(String, Instrument), whether Music is an interface or an abstract class


## Observers \& producers can be methods or visitors

> Methods break up function into many files; visitor is all in one place
> Adding a method requires changing source of classes (not always possible)
$>$ Visitor keeps dependencies out of data type itself (e.g. MIDI dependence)
$>$ Method has direct access to private rep; visitor needs to use observers

## Producers can also be new subclasses of the datatype

$>$ e.g. Music $=\ldots+$ Transpose(m:Music, semitones:int)
$>$ Defers the actual evaluation of the function
$>$ Enables more sharing between values
$>$ Adding a new subclass requires changing all visitors

## Duality Between Interpreter and Visitor

Operation using interpreter pattern
> Adding new operation is hard (must add a method to every existing class)
> Adding new class is easy (changes only one place: the new class)
Operation using visitor pattern
$>$ Adding new operation is easy (changes only one place: the new visitor)
> Adding new class is hard (must add a method to every existing visitor)

## Multiple Voices

For a round, the parts need to be sung simultaneously
Music $=$ Note(duration:double, pitch:Pitch, instr:Instrument)

+ Rest(duration:double)
+ Concat(m1:Music, m2:Music)
+ Together(m1:Music, m2:Music)
$>$ Here's where our decision to make Concat() tree-like becomes very useful
- Suppose we instead had:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Concat = List<Note + Rest> } \\
& \text { Together = List<Concat> }
\end{aligned}
$$

- What kinds of music would we be unable to express?


## Composite pattern

$>$ The composite pattern means that groups of objects (composites)
can be treated the same way as single objects (primitives)
$>\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{C}_{1}(\ldots, \mathrm{~T})+\ldots+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\ldots, \mathrm{T})+\mathrm{P}_{1}(\ldots)+\ldots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}(\ldots)$
Music and Formula are composite data types.

## Simple Rounds

## We need one more operation:

delay: Music x double $\rightarrow$ Music delay $(m$, dur) $=\operatorname{concat(rest(dur),~} m$ )
And now we can express Row Row Row Your Boat
rrryb $=$ notes("C C C3/4 D/4 E | E3/4 D/4 E3/4 F/4 G2 | ...", PIANO) together(rrryb, delay(rrryb, 4))

- Two voices playing together, with the second voice delayed by 4 beats
$>$ This pattern is found in all rounds, not just Row Row Row Your Boat
> Abstract out the common pattern
canon : Music x double x int $\rightarrow$ Music

$$
\text { canon }(m, \text { dur, } n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \text { if } n==1 \\
\operatorname{together}(m, \text { canon(delay }(m, \text { dur), dur, } n-1)) \text { if } n>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

$>$ The ability to capture a general pattern like canon() is one of the advantages of music as a first-class object rather than merely a sequence of play() calls

## Distinguishing Voices

We want each voice in the canon to be distinguishable
$>$ e.g. an octave higher, or lower, or using a different instrument
$>$ So these operations over Music also need to be first-class objects that can be passed to canon()
Extend canon() to apply a function to the repeated melody
canon : Music x int $x$ double $x$ (Music->Music) $\rightarrow$ Music e.g. canon(rrryb, 4, 4, transposer(OCTAVE))
produces 4 voices, each one octave higher than the last transposer: int -> (Music->Music) transposer(semitones) = lambda m: transpose(m, semitones)
canon() is a higher-order function
$>$ A higher-order function takes a function as an argument or returns a function as its result

## Counterpoint

A canon is a special case of a more general pattern
$>$ Counterpoint is $n$ voices singing related music, not necessarily delayed
counterpoint : Music x (Music $\rightarrow$ Music) x int $\rightarrow$ Music
$>$ Expressed as counterpoint, a canon applies two functions to the music: delay and transform

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { canon }(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{n})=\text { counterpoint }(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{f} \bigcirc \text { delayer }(\mathrm{d}), \mathrm{n}) \\
\text { delayer : int } \rightarrow(\text { Music->Music }) \\
\text { delayer }(\mathrm{d})=\text { lambda } \mathrm{m}: \operatorname{delay}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d})
\end{gathered}
$$

Another general pattern
function composition $\mathrm{O}:(\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}) \times(\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{V})$

## Repeating

## A line of music can also be repeated by the same voice

repeat : Music $x$ int $x$ (Music $\rightarrow$ Music) $\rightarrow$ Music
e.g. repeat(rrryb, 2, octaveHigher) $=$ concat(rryb, octaveHigher(rryb))
$>$ Note the similarity to counterpoint():
counterpoint: $m$ together $f(m)$ together ... together $f^{n-1}(m)$
repetition: $m$ concat $f(m)$ concat ... concat $\mathrm{fn}^{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~m})$
$>$ And in other domains as well:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sum: } x+f(x)+\ldots+f^{n-1}(m) \\
& \text { product: } x \cdot f(x) \cdot \ldots \cdot f^{n-1}(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ There's a general pattern here, too; let's capture it

counterpoint $(m, f, n)=\operatorname{series}(m$, together, $f, n)$
$\operatorname{repeat}(m, f, n)=\operatorname{series}(m$, concat, $f, n)$

## Repeating Forever

## Music that repeats forever is useful for canons

forever: Music $\rightarrow$ Music
play(forever(m)) plays $m$ repeatedly, forever
duration(forever $(m))=+\infty$
double actually has a value for this: Double.POSITIVE_INFINITY

Music $=$ Note(duration:double, pitch:Pitch, instr:Instrument)

+ Rest(duration:double)
+ Concat(m1:Music, m2:Music)
+ Together(m1:Music, m2:Music)
+ Forever(m:Music)
why can' t we implement forever() using repeat(), or any of the existing Music subtypes?
> Here's the Row Row Row Your Boat round, forever: canon (forever(rrryb), 4, 4, octaveHigher)


## Accompaniment

accompany: Music x Music $\rightarrow$ Music
repeats second piece until its length matches the first piece

$\operatorname{accompany}(m, b)=$
together(m, repeat(b, identity, duration(m)/duration(b))) if duration(m) finite
together(m, forever(b)) if duration(m) infinite

## Pachelbel's Canon

(well, the first part of it, anyway...)
pachelbelBass $=$ notes("D,2 A,,2|B,,2 $\left.{ }^{\wedge} F_{,,}|\ldots| ", C E L L O\right)$
pachelbelMelody $=$ notes("^F’ $2 E^{\prime} 2\left|D^{\prime} 2 \wedge^{\wedge} C^{\prime} 2\right| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots \mid "$, VIOLIN)
pachelbelCanon = canon(forever(pachelbelMelody), 3, 16)
pachelbel = concat(pachelbelBass, accompany(pachelbelCanon, pachelbelBass))
> Music data type and its operations constitute a language for describing music generation
> Instead of just solving one problem (like playing Row Row Row Your Boat), build a language or toolbox that can solve a range of related problems (e.g. Pachelbel's canon)
$>$ This approach gives you more flexibility if your original problem turns out to be the wrong one to solve (which is not uncommon in practice!)
> Capture common patterns as reusable abstractions
Formula was an embedded language too
$>$ Formula combined with SAT solver is a powerful tool that solves a wide range of problems

## Embedded Languages

Useful languages have three critical elements

|  | Java | Formula language | Music language |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primitives | 3, false | Var, Bool | notes, rest |
| Means of <br> Combination | +, *, <br> =, \&\&, <br> $\\|, \ldots$ | and, or, not | together, <br> concat, <br> transpose, <br> delay, ... |
| Means of <br> Abstraction | variables, <br> methods, <br> classes | naming + methods <br> in Java | naming + functions in <br> Python |

$>6.01$ calls this PCAP (the Primitive-Combination-Abstraction pattern)

## Review of many concepts we've seen in 6.005

> Abstract data types, recursive data types, interpreter/visitor, composite, immutability

## Code as data

$>$ Recursive datatypes, visitors, and functional objects are all ways to express behavior as data that can be manipulated and changed programmatically

## Higher-order functions

> Operations that take or return functional objects

## Building languages to solve problems

$>$ A language has greater flexibility than a mere program, because it can solve large classes of related problems instead of a single problem
$>$ Composite, interpreter, visitor, and higher-order functions are useful for implementing powerful languages
> But in fact any well-designed abstract data type is like a new language
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