MIT OpenCourseWare <u>http://ocw.mit.edu</u>

6.006 Introduction to Algorithms Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>http://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.

# Lecture 5: Hashing I: Chaining, Hash Functions

# Lecture Overview

- Dictionaries and Python
- Motivation
- Hash functions
- Chaining
- Simple uniform hashing
- "Good" hash functions

## Readings

CLRS Chapter 11. 1, 11. 2, 11. 3.

#### **Dictionary Problem**

Abstract Data Type (ADT) maintains a set of items, each with a key, subject to

- insert(item): add item to set
- delete(item): remove item from set
- search(key): return item with key if it exists
- assume items have distinct keys (or that inserting new one clobbers old)
- balanced BSTs solve in  $O(\lg n)$  time per op. (in addition to inexact searches like nextlargest).
- goal: O(1) time per operation.

#### **Python Dictionaries:**

Items are (key, value) pairs e.g. d = `algorithms': 5, `cool': 42

Python set is really  $\underline{\operatorname{dict}}$  where items are keys.

#### Motivation

#### **Document Distance**

• already used in

```
def count_frequency(word_list):
D = {}
for word in word_list:
    if word in D:
        D[word] += 1
    else:
        D[word] = 1
```

• new docdist7 uses dictionaries instead of sorting:

```
def inner_product(D1, D2):

sum = \phi. \phi

for key in D1:

if key in D2:

sum += D1[key]*D2[key]
```

 $\implies$  optimal  $\Theta(n)$  document distance assuming dictionary ops. take O(1) time

# $\mathbf{PS2}$

How close is chimp DNA to human DNA? = Longest common substring of two strings e.g. ALGORITHM vs. ARITHMETIC.

Dictionaries help speed algorithms e.g. put all substrings into set, looking for duplicates -  $\Theta(n^2)$  operations.

#### Lecture 5

#### How do we solve the dictionary problem?

A simple approach would be a direct access table. This means items would need to be stored in an array, indexed by key.



Figure 1: Direct-access table

#### **Problems:**

- 1. keys must be nonnegative integers (or using two arrays, integers)
- 2. large key range  $\implies$  large space e.g. one key of  $2^{256}$  is bad news.

#### 2 Solutions:

Solution 1: map key space to integers.

- In Python: hash (object) where object is a number, string, tuple, etc. or object implementing hash Misnomer: should be called "prehash"
- Ideally,  $x = y \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hash}(x) = \operatorname{hash}(y)$
- Python applies some heuristics e.g.  $hash(\langle \phi B' \rangle) = 64 = hash(\langle \phi \rangle \phi C')$
- Object's key should not change while in table (else cannot find it anymore)
- No mutable objects like lists

Solution 2: hashing (verb from 'hache' = hatchet, Germanic)

- Reduce universe U of all keys (say, integers) down to reasonable size m for table
- idea:  $m \approx n, n = \mid k \mid, k =$  keys in dictionary
- <u>hash function</u> h:  $U \to \phi, 1, \dots, m-1$



Figure 2: Mapping keys to a table

• two keys  $k_i, k_j \in K$  <u>collide</u> if  $h(k_i) = h(k_j)$ 

# How do we deal with collisions?

There are two ways

- 1. Chaining: TODAY
- 2. Open addressing: NEXT LECTURE

#### Chaining

Linked list of colliding elements in each slot of table



Figure 3: Chaining in a Hash Table

- Search must go through *whole* list T[h(key)]
- Worst case: all keys in k hash to same slot  $\implies \Theta(n)$  per operation

### Simple Uniform Hashing - an Assumption:

Each key is equally likely to be hashed to any slot of table, independent of where other keys are hashed.

let n = #keys stored in table m = #slots in table  $load factor \alpha = n/m = average \#keys \text{ per slot}$ 

#### Expected performance of chaining: assuming simple uniform hashing

The performance is likely to be  $O(1 + \alpha)$  - the 1 comes from applying the hash function and access slot whereas the  $\alpha$  comes from searching the list. It is actually  $\Theta(1 + \alpha)$ , even for successful search (see CLRS).

Therefore, the performance is O(1) if  $\alpha = O(1)$  i. e.  $m = \Omega(n)$ .

## Hash Functions

#### **Division Method:**

 $h(k) = k \operatorname{mod} m$ 

- $k_1$  and  $k_2$  collide when  $k_1 = k_2 \pmod{m}$  i. e. when m divides  $|k_1 k_2|$
- fine if keys you store are uniform random
- but if keys are  $x, 2x, 3x, \ldots$  (regularity) and x and m have common divisor d then use only 1/d of table. This is likely if m has a small divisor e. g. 2.
- if  $m = 2^r$  then only look at r bits of key!

**Good Practice:** A good practice to avoid common regularities in keys is to make m a prime number that is not close to power of 2 or 10.

Key Lesson: It is inconvenient to find a prime number; division slow.

#### **Multiplication Method:**

 $h(k) = [(a \cdot k) \mod 2^w] \gg (w - r)$  where  $m = 2^r$  and w-bit machine words and a = odd integer between  $2^{(w-1)}$  and  $2^w$ .

Good Practise: a not too close to  $2^{(w-1)}$  or  $2^w$ .

Key Lesson: Multiplication and bit extraction are faster than division.



Figure 4: Multiplication Method