


TODAY: Dynamic graphs I (of 3)

- link-cut trees
- preferred paths (again) [LG]
- heavy-light decomposition

Link-cut trees: [Sleator & Tarjan - JCSS 1983; Tarjan - book 1984]

maintain forest of rooted (unordered) trees  
subject to  $O(\lg n)$ -time operations:

- maketree: return new vertex in new tree
- link( $v, w$ ): make  $v$  new child of  $w$   
 $\Rightarrow$  adding edge ( $v, w$ )
- cut( $v$ ): delete edge ( $v, \text{parent}(v)$ )
- findroot( $v$ ): return root of tree containing  $v$
- path aggregate( $v$ ): compute sum/min/max/etc.  
of node/edge weights on  $v$ -to-root path



Idea: represent unbalanced trees  
using balanced trees

## Preferred path decomposition: (like Tango trees [LG])







- preferred child of node  $v$ :  
=  $\begin{cases} \text{none} & \text{if last access in } v\text{'s subtree was } v \\ w & \text{if last access was in child } w\text{'s subtree} \end{cases}$  ↑ differs
- preferred path = chain of preferred edges
- ⇒ partition represented tree into paths

## Auxiliary trees: (also like Tango trees [LG])

- represent each preferred path by a splay tree keyed on depth
- root of aux. tree stores path parent: path's top node's parent in represented tree
  - (can't easily store path children ~ can be many)
  - auxiliary trees + path parent pointers  
= tree of auxiliary trees
    - potentially high degree
    - goal: balanced

access(v): make root-to-v path preferred  
 & make v the root of its aux. tree  
 $\Rightarrow$  v is the root of tree of aux. trees


- splay v (within its aux. tree)
- remove v's preferred child:
  - if v.right {
    - v.right.pathparent = v
    - parent = none
    - v.right = none
- until v.pathparent = none: (i.e. root aux. tree)
  - w = v.pathparent
  - splay w (within its aux. tree)
  - switch w's preferred child to v:
    - if w.right {
      - w.right.pathparent = v
      - parent = none
      - w.right = v
      - v.parent = w
      - pathparent = none
  - splay v = rotate v
  - $\Rightarrow$  v.pathparent = w.pathparent



$\Rightarrow$  v has no right child  
 (deepest node on preferred path  
 because v has no preferred child)

findroot(v):

- access(v)
- $v = v.left$  until  $v.left = none$
- splay v  $\rightarrow$  so fast next time
- return v



path aggregate(v): (for vertex weights)


- access(v)
- return v. subtree sum

augmentation within each aux. tree



cut(v):


- access(v)
- $v.left.parent = none$
- $v.left = none$



link(v, w):

- access(v)
- access(w)
- $v.left = w$
- $w.parent = v$

$\Rightarrow$  v alone in its aux. tree



$\Rightarrow$  v becomes deepest node in w's preferred path

[OR  $w.right = v \sim$  similar analysis]

$O(\lg^2 n)$  amortized bound:

- link & cut & path-aggregate cost  $O(1 + \text{access})$
- findroot costs access + find/splay min
- access costs splay  $\cdot$  #preferred child changes
- lemma: splay analysis works in this setting  
(or use balanced BSTs)

$\Rightarrow O(\lg n)$  amortized/splay

$\Rightarrow m$  operations cost

$O(\lg n) \cdot (m + \text{total \# preferred child changes})$

claim:  $O(m \lg n)$

- for this, need a tool:

Heavy-light decomposition: (in represented tree)

- size( $v$ ) = # nodes in  $v$ 's subtree

- call edge ( $v$ , parent( $v$ )):

- heavy if  $\text{size}(v) > \frac{1}{2} \text{size}(\text{parent}(v))$

- light otherwise

$\Rightarrow \leq 1$  heavy child of a node

$\Rightarrow$  heavy edges form heavy paths

which partition the nodes

- light depth( $v$ ) = # light edges on root-to- $v$  path  
 $\leq \lg n$  (size halves each time)

$\Rightarrow$  represented edge can be (preferred) & (heavy)  
not (light)

## $O(m \lg n)$ preferred child changes:

- #changes  $\leq$  # light preferred edge creations  
+ # heavy preferred edge destructions  
+  $n-1$   
#edges  $\sim$  in case created  $\nearrow$  heavy  
or destroyed  $\searrow$  light & not created

### - access(v):

- creates preferred edges along root-to-v path
- $\leq \lg n$  of them can be light
- each heavy preferred edge destroyed }  $\lg n$   
     $\Rightarrow$  light preferred edge created }  
    ... except former preferred child of v } 1
- $\Rightarrow \leq \lg n + 1$
- $\Rightarrow O(\lg n)$  total

### - link(v, w): "heavens" nodes on root-to-w path

- $\Rightarrow$  some of these edges might become heavy  
& some edges off path might become light  
( $\Rightarrow$  create light edges & destroy heavy edges)
- but former preferred & latter not, by access
- $\Rightarrow \emptyset$

### - cut(v): lightens nodes on root-to-v path

- $\leq \lg n$  of path edges can be (come) light
- also destroy edge (v, parent(v)), possibly heavy
- $\Rightarrow O(\lg n)$

## $O(\lg n)$ amortized bound:

-  $W(v) = \# \text{ nodes in } v\text{'s subtree in tree of aux. trees}$   
 $= \sum_{w \in \text{aux.} \ni v} (1 + \text{size}(\text{aux. trees hanging off } w))$

- potential  $\Phi = \sum_v \lg W(v) \sim \text{splay potential}$

- access lemma: amortized cost of  $\text{splay}(v)$   
 $\leq 3(\lg W(\text{root of } v\text{'s aux. tree}) - \lg W(v)) + 1$

-  $\text{splay}(v)$  affects  $W$ 's only within  $v$ 's aux. tree

$\Rightarrow$  standard splay analysis applies:

- amortized cost of one splay step  
 $\leq 3(\lg W^{\text{after}}(v) - \lg W^{\text{before}}(v))$

(some checking & concavity of  $\lg$ )

$\Rightarrow$  telescopes, +1 for final rotation

- amortized cost of  $\text{access}(v)$   
 $= O(\lg n) + O(\# \text{ preferred child changes})$

$\Rightarrow O(\lg n)$  amortized

- changing preferred children doesn't affect  $W$   
(tree of aux. trees remains the same)

-  $W(v) \leq W(\text{root of } v\text{'s aux. tree}) \leq W(w)$

-  $\text{splay}(v)$  costs  $\leq 3(\lg W(w) - \lg W(v)) + 1$

- sum telescopes

$\Rightarrow \leq \underbrace{3(\lg W(\text{root}) - \lg W(v))}_{O(\lg n)} + O(\# \text{ preferred child changes})$   
 $\rightarrow W(v)$  in next splay

-  $\text{cut}(v)$  only decreases  $W$ 's  $\Rightarrow \Phi$  only decreases

-  $\text{link}(v, w)$  increases only  $W(v)$ , by  $\leq n$   
 $\Rightarrow \leq \lg n$  increase in  $\Phi$



Worst-case  $O(\lg n)$ : [Sleator & Tarjan]

- store heavy paths in aux. trees
- aux. tree = globally biased search tree

[Bent, Sleator, Tarjan - SICOMP 1985]

- similar to weight-balanced trees in L16  
but dynamic with careful split/concat.



MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.851 Advanced Data Structures  
Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.