- Send email to be added to course mailing list. Critical!
- Sign up for scribing.
- Pset 1 out today. First part due in a week, second in two weeks.
- Course under perpetual development! Limited staffing. Patience and constructive criticism appreciated.


## Hamming's Solution - 1

- Break (32-bit) word into four blocks of size 7 each (discard four remaining bits).
- In each block apply a transform that maps 4 "real" bits into a 7 bit string, so that any 1 bit flip in a block can be corrected.
- How? Will show next.
- Result: Can now store 16 "real" bits per word this way. Efficiency already up to $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Magnetic storage devices are prone to making errors.
- How to store information (32 bit words) so that any 1 bit flip (in any word) can be corrected?
- Simple solution:
- Repeat every bit three times.
- Works. To correct 1 bit flip error, take majority vote for each bit.
- Can store 10 "real" bits per word this way. Efficiency of storage $\approx 1 / 3$. Can we do better?
- Will explain notation later.
- Let

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Encode $\mathbf{b}=\left\langle b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right\rangle$ as $\mathbf{b} \cdot G$.
- Claim: If $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$, then $\mathbf{a} \cdot G$ and $\mathrm{b} \cdot G$ differ in at least 3 coordinates.
- Will defer proof of claim.
- Since codewords (i.e., b • $G$ ) differ in at least 3 coordinates, can correct one error.
- Motivates Hamming distance, Hamming weight, Error-correcting codes etc.
- Alphabet $\Sigma$ of size $q$. Ambient space, $\Sigma^{n}$ : Includes codewords and their corruptions.
- Hamming distance between strings $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y} \in$ $\Sigma^{n}$, denoted $\Delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, is \# of coordinates $i$ s.t. $x_{i} \neq y_{i}$. (Converts ambient space into metric space.)
- Hamming weight of $\mathbf{z}$, denoted $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{z})$, is \# coordinate where z is non-zero.
- $q$ : Alphabet size
- $n$ : Block length
- $k$ : Message length, where $|C|=q^{k}$.
- $d$ : Min. distance of code.
- Code with above is an $(n, k, d)_{q}$ code.
$[n, k, d]_{q}$ code if linear. Omit $q$ if $q=2$.
- $k / n$ : Rate
- $d / n$ : Relative distance.

Code: Subset $C \subseteq \Sigma^{n}$.
Min. distance: Denoted $\Delta(C)$, is

$$
\min _{\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y} \in C}\{\Delta(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})\}
$$

$e$ error detecting code If up to $e$ errors happen, then codeword does not mutate into any other code.
$t$ error-correcting code If up to $t$ errors happen, then codeword is uniquely determined (as the unique word within distance $t$ from the received word).

Proposition: $C$ has min. dist. $2 t+1 \Leftrightarrow$ it is $2 t$ error-detecting $\Leftrightarrow$ it is $t$ error-correcting.

## Back to Hamming code

- So we have an $[7,4,3]$ code (modulo proof of claim).
- Can correct 1 bit error.
- Storage efficiency (rate) approaches $4 / 7$ (as word size approached $\infty$ ).
- Will do better, by looking at proof of claim.

Let $H=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$

- Sub-Claim 1: $\{\mathbf{x} G \mid \mathbf{x}\}=\{\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{y} \cdot H=0\}$. Simple linear algebra (mod 2). You'll prove this as part of Pset 1.
- Sub-claim 2: Exist codewords $\mathbf{z}_{1} \neq \mathbf{z}_{1}$ s.t. $\Delta\left(\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{2}\right) \leq 2$ iff exists y of weight at most 2 s.t. $\mathbf{y} \cdot H=0$.
- Let $\mathbf{h}_{i}$ be $i$ th row of $H$. Then $\mathbf{y} \cdot H=$ $\sum_{i \mid y_{i}=1} \mathbf{h}_{i}$.
- Let y have weight 2 and say $y_{i}=y_{j}=1$. Then $\mathbf{y} \cdot H=\mathbf{h}_{i}+\mathbf{h}_{j}$. But this is non-zero since $\mathbf{h}_{i} \neq \mathbf{h}_{j}$. QED.

Generalizing Hamming codes

- Important feature: Parity check matrix should not have identical rows. But then can do this for every $\ell$.

$$
H_{\ell}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- $H_{\ell}$ has $\ell$ columns, and $2^{\ell-1}$ rows.
- $H_{\ell}$ : Parity check matrix of $\ell$ th Hamming code.
- Message length of code $=$ exercise. Implies rate $\rightarrow 1$.

Summary of Hamming's paper (1950)

- Defined Hamming metric and codes.
- Gave codes with $d=1,2,3,4$ !
- $d=2$ : Parity check code.
- $d=3$ : We've seen.
- $d=4$ ?
- Gave a tightness result: His codes have maximum number of codewords. "Lower bound".
- Gave decoding "procedure".


## Volume Bound

- Proves Hamming codes are optimal, when they exist.
- Hamming Ball: $B(x, r)=\{w \in$ $\left.\{0,1\}^{n} \mid \Delta(w, x) \leq r\right\}$.
- Volume: $\operatorname{Vol}(r, n)=|B(x, r)|$. (Notice volume independent of $x$ and $\Sigma$, given $|\Sigma|=q$.
- Hamming(/Volume/Packing) Bound:
- Basic Idea: Balls of radius $t$ around codewords of a $t$-error correcting code don't intersect.
- Quantitatively: $2^{k} \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(t, n) \leq 2^{n}$.
- For $t=1$, get $2^{k} \cdot(n+1) \leq 2^{n}$ or $k \leq n-\log _{2}(n+1)$.


## Decoding the Hamming code

- Can recognize codewords? Yes - multiply by $H_{\ell}$ and see if 0 .
- What happens if we send codeword c and $i$ th bit gets flipped?
- Received vector $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{e}_{i}$.
- $\mathbf{r} \cdot H=\mathbf{c} \cdot H+\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot H$
$=0+\mathbf{h}_{i}$
$=$ binary representation of $i$.
- r $H$ gives binary rep'n of error coordinate!
- More history!
- More codes (larger $d$ ).
- More lower bounds (will see other methods).
- More algorithms - decode less simple codes.
- More applications: Modern connections to theoretical CS.
- Obvious: Communication/Storage.
- Algorithms: Useful data structures.
- Complexity: Pseudorandomness ( $\epsilon$-biased spaces, $t$-wise independent spaces), Hardness amplification, PCPs.
- Cryptography: Secret sharing, Cryptoschemes.
- Central object in extremal combinatorics: relates to extractors, expanders, etc.
- Recreational Math.

