Today

Proof of Converse Coding Theorem

- More on Shannon's theory
 - Proof of converse.
 - Few words on generality.
 - Contrast with Hamming theory.
- Back to error-correcting codes: Goals.
- Tools:
 - Probability theory:
 - Algebra: Finite fields, Linear spaces.

- Intuition: For message m, let S_m ⊆ {0,1}ⁿ be the set of received words that decode to m. (S_m = D⁻¹(m)).
- Average size of $D(m) = 2^{n-k}$.

©Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

- Volume of disc of radius pn around E(m) is $2^{H(p)n}$.
- Intuition: If volume $\gg 2^{n-k}$ can't have this ball decoding to m but we need to!
- Formalize?

1

3

© Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

Proof of Converse Coding Theorem (contd.)

Prob. [correct decoding]

$$= \sum \eta \in \{0,1\}^{n} \sum_{m \in \{0,1\}^{k}} \Pr[m \text{ sent}, \eta \text{ error and } I_{m,\eta} = 1]$$

$$\leq \sum_{\eta \in B(p'n,n)} \Pr[\eta \text{ error}] + \sum_{\eta \notin B(p'n,n)} \sum_{m} 2^{-k} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{H(p') \cdot n}} \cdot I_{m,\eta}$$

$$\leq \exp(-n) + 2^{-k - H(p')n} \cdot \sum_{m,\eta} I_{m,\eta}$$

$$= \exp(-n) + 2^{-k - H(p')n} \cdot 2^{n}$$

$$\leq \exp(-n)$$

Let $I_{m,\eta}$ be the indicator variable that is 1 iff $D((E(m) + \eta)) = m$.

Let p' < p be such that R > 1 - H(p').

- Channels more general
 - Input symbols Σ , Output symbols Γ , where both may be infinite (reals/complexes).
 - Channel given by its probability transition matrix $P = P_{\sigma,\gamma}$.
 - Channel need not be independent could be Markovian (remembers finite amount of state in determining next error bit).
- In almost all cases: random coding + mld works.
- Always non-constructive.

© Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

- Rigorous Definition of elusive concepts: Information, Randomness.
- Mathematical tools: Entropy, Mutual information, Relative entropy.
- Theorems: Coding theorem, converse.
- Emphasis on the "feasible" as opposed to "done".

© Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

Contrast between Hamming and Shannon

- Works intertwined in time.
- Hamming's work focusses on distance, and image of *E*.
- Shannon's work focusses on probabilities only (no mention of distance) and *E*, *D* but not properties of image of *E*.
- Hamming's results more constructive, definitions less so.
- Shannon's results not constructive, though definitions beg constructivitty.

- Most important difference: modelling of error — adversarial vs. probabilistic. Accounts for the huge difference in our ability to analyze one while having gaps in the other.
- Nevertheless good to build Hamming like codes, even when trying to solve the Shannon problem.

5

Our focus

- Codes, and associated encoding and decoding functions.
- Distance is not the only measure, but we will say what we can about it.
- Code parameters: *n*, *k*, *d*, *q*;
- typical goal: given three optimize fourth.
- Coarser goal: consider only R = k/n, $\delta = d/n$ and q and given two, optimize the third.
- In particular, can we get $R, \delta > 0$ for constant q?

© Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

Tools

- Probability tools:
 - Linearity of expections, Union bound.
 - Expectation of product of independent r.v.s
 - Tail inqualities: Markov, Chebychev, Chernoff.
- Algebra
 - Finite fields.
 - Vector spaces over finite fields.
- Elementary combinatorics and algorithmics.

• Will combine with analysis of encoding complexity and decoding complexity.

© Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

Finite fields and linear error-correcting codes

- Field: algebraic structure with addition, multiplication, both commutative and associative with inverses, and multiplication] distributive over addition.
- Finite field: Number of elements finite.
 Well known fact: field exists iff size is a prime power. See lecture notes on algebra for further details. Denote field of size q by F_q.
- Vector spaces: V defined over a field F. Addition of vectors, multiplication of vector with "scalar" (i.e., field element) is defined,

9

and finally an inner product (product of two vectors yielding a scalar is defined).

- If alphabet is a field, then ambient space Σ^n becomes a vector space \mathbb{F}_a^n .
- If a code forms a vector space within \mathbb{F}_q^n then it is a linear code. Denoted $[n, k, d]_q$ code.

- Linear codes are the most common.
- Seem to be as strong as general ones.
- Have succinct specification, efficient encoding and efficient error-detecting algorithms. Why? (Generator matrix and Parity check matrix.)
- Linear algebra provides other useful tools: Duals of codes provide interesting constructions.
- Dual of linear code is code generated by transpose of parity check matrix.

©Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

14

Example: Dual of Hamming codes

• Message $\mathbf{m} = \langle m_1, \ldots, m_\ell \rangle$.

© Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895

- Encoding given by $\left< \left< \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x} \right> \right>_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^\ell \mathbf{0}}.$
- Fact: (will prove later): $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$ implies $\Pr_{\mathbf{x}}[\langle \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0] = \frac{1}{2}$
- Implies dual of $[2^{\ell} 1, 2^{\ell} \ell 1, 3]_2$ Hamming code is a $[2^{\ell} - 1, \ell, 2^{\ell-1}]$ code.
- Often called the simplex code or the Hadamard code. (If we add a coordinate that is zero to all coordinates, and write 0s as -1s, then the matrix whose rows are all the codewords form a +1/-1 matrix whose product with its transpose is a multiple of

the identity matrix. Such matrices are called Hadamard matrices, and hence the code is called a Hadamard code.)

• Moral of the story: Duals of good codes end up being good. No proven reason.

Next few lectures

- Towards asymptotically good codes:
 - Some good codes that are not asymptotically good.
 - Some compositions that lead to good codes.

C Madhu Sudan, Fall 2004: Essential Coding Theory: MIT 6.895