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## Lotteries

- A lottery is a probabilistic trial characterized by a set of mutually exclusive and exhaustive possible outcomes $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$,
$\ldots, C_{m}$, with respective probabilities $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}$.
- $L\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m} ; p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)$

Example:
L(\$5, \$0; 0.6, 0.4)


## Preferences exist

- For every pair of consequences $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$, a DM will:
$>\operatorname{prefer} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{j}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \succ \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$
$>$ be indifferent between $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \sim \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$
$>\operatorname{prefer} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \prec \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$


## Definition of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{*}$

- Define:
$>\mathrm{C}^{*}$ a consequence that is at least as preferred as the most preferred of $C_{1} \ldots C_{m} \Rightarrow C^{*} \succeq C_{i}$ for all $i$
$>\mathrm{C}_{*}$ a consequence that is at least as low in preference as the least preferred of $\mathrm{C}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \Rightarrow$ $C_{*} \prec C_{i}$ for all i
- $C^{*}$ and $C_{*}$ need not be included in $C_{1} \ldots C_{m}$


## The desirability of a lottery

It depends on:

- The probabilities
- The consequences
- The person's present wealth, needs, and attitude toward risk.


# Axiom 1: Comparison of lotteries with identical consequences 

Given: $L_{1}=L\left(C^{*}, C_{*} ; p_{1}, 1-p_{1}\right), L_{2}=L\left(C^{*}, C_{*} ; p_{2}, 1-p_{2}\right)$ and $C^{*} \succeq C_{i} \succeq C_{*}$ for all $i$, then a Decision Maker will:
prefer $L_{1}$ over $L_{2} \quad$ if $\quad p_{1}>p_{2}$,
be indifferent
if $\quad \mathbf{p}_{1}=\mathbf{p}_{2}$
prefer $L_{2}$ over $L_{1} \quad$ if $\quad p_{1}<p_{\mathbf{2}}$.

- Given the same consequences, the DM prefers the lottery with the higher probability of achieving the most desirable consequence.


## Axiom 2a: Quantification of preferences

For each $C_{i}$, the $D M$ can specify a number $\pi\left(C_{i}\right)$, with $0 \leq \pi\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \leq 1$, such that the DM is indifferent between:
possessing $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with certainty

## and

possessing the lottery $L\left(C^{*}, C_{*} ; \pi\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), 1-\pi\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)$

## Notes on Axiom 2a

- The indifference probability (or "preference value") $\pi\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is a measure of the preference of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on a range of consequences from $\mathrm{C}_{*}$ to $\mathrm{C}^{*}$.
- This axiom provides the basis for the development of the metric of "utility" ("preference value").
- From Axiom 1, the DM will prefer $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ for sure over the lottery $L\left(C^{*}, C_{*} ; \mathbf{p}, 1-p\right)$, if $p<\pi\left(C_{i}\right)$.


## Axiom 2b: Quantification of uncertainty

Let $R$ be any event. For each $R$, the $D M$ has a quantity $p(R)$, with $0 \leq p(R) \leq 1$, such that the $D M$ is indifferent between

- the lottery $L\left(C^{*}, C_{*} ; p(R), 1-p(R)\right)$
- a lottery as a result of which the DM will obtain C* if $R$ occurs and $C_{*}$ if $R$ does not occur.


## Notes on Axiom 2b

- Judgmental probabilities exist for a rational DM.
- This axiom provides the means for finding the DM's probability of $R$. All the DM has to do is adjust $p(R)$ until he/she is indifferent between the two lotteries.


## Axiom 3: Transitivity of preferences

If $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ are consequences, then:
$\mathrm{C}_{1} \sim \mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \sim \mathrm{C}_{3}$ implies $\mathrm{C}_{1} \sim \mathrm{C}_{3}$
and
$\mathrm{C}_{1} \succeq \mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \succeq \mathrm{C}_{3}$ implies $\mathrm{C}_{1} \succeq \mathrm{C}_{3}$

## Axiom 4: Substitution of consequences

If $C_{1} \sim C_{2}$, then the $D M$ is indifferent between two decision problems which are identical except that $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ in the first problem has been substituted by $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in the second.
[If a DM is indifferent between two consequences, the DM's solution to a decision problem cannot be affected by substitution of one of these consequences for the other.]


## actual and conjectural situations

Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be any two consequences which are possible if only some chance event $\mathbf{R}$ occurs. After it is known that $R$ did indeed occur, the DM should have the same preference between $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ that the DM had before (s)he knew whether or not $R$ occurred.
[A DM's preferences among consequences of a decision should not be affected by knowledge as to whether (s)he merely may or (s)he certainly will have to make that decision.]

## Summary of Axioms

- Axiom 0: Preferences exist
- Axiom 1: Two simple lotteries, each with same prize and penalty: choose lottery with higher probability of prize
- Axiom 2a: Quantification of preferences ("indifference probability" or "preference value")
- Axiom 2b: Quantification of uncertainty
- Axiom 3: Transitivity of preferences


## Summary of Axioms (cont'd)

- Axiom 4: Substitution of consequences
- Axiom 5: Equivalence of preferences for actual and conjectural situations

A DM who satisfies these axioms is rational or coherent.

