

Engineering Risk Benefit Analysis 1.155, 2.943, 3.577, 6.938, 10.816, 13.621, 16.862, 22.82, ESD.72, ESD.721

DA 6. Multiattribute Utility Theory

George E. Apostolakis Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Spring 2007



Consequences

- Not all the consequences are monetary.
- In risk management problems, for example, they may include the impact on health and safety of groups of stakeholders.
- In general, the consequences are described by a vector $\underline{x} \equiv (x_1, ..., x_N)$.



Decision alternative A_i is preferred over alternative A_k if and only if its expected utility is greater, i.e.,

 $E_i[u] > E_k[u] \iff A_i \succ A_k$





Independence Assumptions

- Finding the multiattribute utility function using the preceding method is very burdensome.
- Can we find a function f such that

$$u(x_1, ..., x_N) = f[u_1(x_1), ..., u_N(x_N)]$$

where $u_i(x_i)$ is the utility function of attribute x_i ?

• The answer is "yes," if we can establish "independence" among the attributes.



Mutual Preferential Independence

• Attribute Y is preferentially independent of attribute Z, if preferences for y levels do not depend on the level of z, i.e.,

$$(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}^0) \succ (\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{z}^0)$$

implies

$$(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z})\succ(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{z}) \quad \forall \mathbf{z}$$

where y and y' are two levels of y.

Mutual Preferential Independence: Example

- Y: Departure time (morning, afternoon)
- Z: Ticket cost (\$300, \$500)
- If you prefer "afternoon" to "morning" departure regardless of the price of the ticket, and you prefer \$300 to \$500 regardless of the departure time, then Y and Z are mutually preferentially independent.
- If you prefer "afternoon" to "morning" departure regardless of the price of the ticket, but the price depends on when you leave, then Y is preferentially independent of Z, but they are not mutually preferentially independent.



Utility Independence (1)

- It is similar to preferential independence, except that the assessments are made with uncertainty present. It is a stronger assumption.
- Y is utility independent of Z if preferences over lotteries involving different levels of Y do not depend on a fixed level of Z.
- For the previous example: The preference value of the lottery L(morning, afternoon; 0.5, 0.5) is independent of the price of the ticket.
- The CEs of lotteries on Y levels are independent of z.



Utility Independence (2)

• A form of the utility function for attributes X₁ and X₂ that are utility independent, is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) &= \\ &= \mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) + \mathbf{k}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) + (1 - \mathbf{k}_{1} - \mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \mathbf{u}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) \\ &\text{with} \quad 0 \leq \mathbf{k}_{i} \leq 1 \quad i = 1, 2 \\ &\quad 0 \leq \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \leq 1 \quad i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$



Utility Independence (3)

- Fix the level of X_2 at x'_2 , then $u(x_1, x'_2) = = k_1 u_1(x_1) + k_2 u_2(x'_2) + (1 - k_1 - k_2) u_1(x_1) u_2(x'_2)$ $= [k_1 + (1 - k_1 - k_2) u_2(x'_2)] u_1(x_1) + k_2 u_2(x'_2)$
- This is a linear transformation of u₁(x₁), therefore, the preferences over levels of X₁ are independent of the level of X₂.
- For another level of X_2 , we will get another linear transformation of $u_1(x_1)$. \Rightarrow
- Lotteries on X₁ are independent of the level of X₂.



Utility Independence (4)

• When X₁ and X₂ are utility independent of each other, they are *mutually* utility independent.

- $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{u}_2(\mathbf{x}_2)$
- X₂ is utility independent of X₁ but not vice versa.



Additive Independence

- A stronger assumption than utility independence.
- For two attributes, we must be indifferent between



\mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_1 are different levels of \mathbf{x}_1

We can get any pair of consequences with probability 0.5; the only difference is how the levels are combined.



Additive Utility Function

$$\mathbf{u}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{1}^{N} \mathbf{k}_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i})$$

where

417

$$0 \le k_i \le 1$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i = 1$$
$$0 \le u_i(x_i) \le 1$$

Two attributes:

$$u(x_1, x_2) = k u_1(x_1) + (1-k) u_2(x_2)$$



Additive Independence: Implications

- When we assess the utility of one attribute, it should not matter what the other attribute's level is.
- Interaction among the attributes is not allowed.
- For cases with no or little uncertainty, additive independence represents reasonably well people's utilities.
- For complex problems, it could be a useful first-cut approximation.