Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology HST.508: Quantitative Genomics, Fall 2005 Instructors: Leonid Mirny, Robert Berwick, Alvin Kho, Isaac Kohane

Calculating Tajima's D

Courtesy of Professor Robert Berwick. Used with permission.

Fumio Tajima introduced a statistic that is widely used to test the null hypothesis of mutation-drift equilibrium and constant population size. Tajima considered two statistics: the mean pairwise difference (π) and the number (S) of segregating sites. Under the null hypothesis, the expected values of these statistics are

$$E[\pi] = \theta$$
$$E[S] = a_1\theta$$

where $\theta = 4Nu$, 2N is the haploid population size, u is the mutation rate per generation, and a_1 is defined below.

Under the null hypothesis, π and S/a_1 both estimate θ , so they should be roughly equal in value. If they are about equal in value, then we cannot reject the hypothesis. If they are very different, on the other hand, we reject the hypothesis. But how different is "very different"? The answer depends on how variable these two statistics are from sample to sample. Tajima obtained a formula for the sampling variance of these statistics, and defined D this way: Let

$$V = \operatorname{Var}[\pi - S/a_1]$$

denote the sampling variance of the difference between the two estimates. Then Tajima's D is

$$D = \frac{\pi - S/a_1}{\sqrt{V}}$$

It expresses the difference between the two estimates relative to their standard error.

If the difference between π and S/a_1 were normally distributed, then we could expect D to lie between -2 and 2 about 95% of the time. In fact, this difference is *not* normally distributed, but it is not too far off. We should be suspicious of values of D that are much outside the interval [-2, 2]. Although D is simple in concept, it is tedious to calculate. We need three pieces of data: π , S, and the number, n, of DNA sequences in the sample. Given these data, calculate:

$$a_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i}$$

$$a_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i^{2}}$$

$$b_{1} = \frac{n+1}{3(n-1)}$$

$$b_{2} = \frac{2(n^{2}+n+3)}{9n(n-1)}$$

$$c_{1} = b_{1} - \frac{1}{a_{1}}$$

$$c_{2} = b_{2} - \frac{n+2}{a_{1}n} + \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}^{2}}$$

$$e_{1} = c_{1}/a_{1}$$

$$e_{2} = c_{2}/(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2})$$

Then Tajima's D is

$$D = \frac{\pi - S/a_1}{\sqrt{e_1 S + e_2 S(S - 1)}}$$
(5.5)

This formula is exactly as given by Tajima in his 1989 paper. Hartl gives a slightly different form on page 113 of his text. The two formulas differ because Hartl defines π and S slightly differently than Tajima. For Hartl, π is the mean *fraction* of nucleotide sites that differ between pairs of individuals. For Tajima, it is the mean *number* of sites that differ. Similarly, for Hartl S is the *fraction* of the sites that are segregating and for Tajima S is the *number* of segregating sites. You will get the same answer using either approach. I have stuck with Tajima's.

Example Consider the following data set:

subj0	АТААТАААА	ААТААТАААА	АААТААААА	ААТАААААА	А
subj1	АААААААТА	ААТААТАААА	АААТААААА	ААААААААА	А
subj2	ААААТАААА	ТАТААТАААА	АААТАТАААА	ААААААААА	А
subj3	ААААААААА	ААТААТАААА	АААТАААТАА	АТААААААА	А
subj4	ААААТАААА	АААТАТАААА	АААТААААА	ААААААААА	А

```
subj5AAAATAAAAAAAAAATAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATAAAAAsubj6AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAsubj7AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAsubj8AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAsubj9AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
```

From this data, we can calculate

Example Lynn Jorde's lab has published a large sample of DNA sequences from the D-loop of the human mitochondrial genome. There are 630 sites. For the Asian sample, we get:

```
77 sequences, 630 sites

pi: 8.438483

Segregating sites: 103/630

theta_hat[estimated from S]: 20.958331

Tajima's D: -2.021749

a1=4.914514 a2=1.631862 b1=0.342105 b2=0.228184

c1=0.138626 c2=0.086985 e1=0.028208 e2=0.003374
```

For the African sample:

```
72 sequences, 630 sites

pi: 15.339984

Segregating sites: 88/630

theta_hat[estimated from S]: 18.155855

Tajima's D: -0.525801

a1=4.846921 a2=1.630948 b1=0.342723 b2=0.228612

c1=0.136406 c2=0.085989 e1=0.028143 e2=0.003423
```

In one case, D is strongly negative and in the other case weakly negative. How would you interpret these results?