## **24.191S15 LAUNCH QUESTION #1**

## FAMINE RELIEF

In his clip from *Examined Life*, Peter Singer argues that we should all be giving substantial amounts of money and/or time to famine relief, e.g., by giving to Oxfam. He draws on an analogy: suppose you were walking by a shallow pond and a child was drowning. All you need to do to save her life is wade in and help her, though you would ruin your nice shoes. You are morally required to sacrifice your shoes to save the child's life. He argues: children are dying every day from famine. If you restrict yourself to what you really need and sacrifice luxuries, giving the money you save to famine relief, you will save many lives. So you are morally required to do so. What do you think of the analogy?

24.191 Ethics in Your Life: Being, Thinking, Doing (or Not?) Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.