Lecture 23: 12.05.05 Lattice Models of Materials; Modeling Polymer Solutions

Today:

LAST 11MF
The Boltzmann Factor and Partition Function: systems at constant temperature
A better model. The Debye solid
EXAMINATION OF HEAT CAPACITIES OF DIFFERENT MATERIALS
DEGREES OF TREEDOM IN MOLECULAR MODELS(1)
Excitations in materials
Complete molecular portition functions
LATTICE MODELS FOR TRANSLATIONAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM
Assumptions in somple lattice models
FLORY-HUGGINS THEORY OF POLYMER SOLUTIONS
The entropy of polymer solutions
REFERENCES

Reading:	1	Engel and Reid 32.3-32.4			
-	->	Dill and Bromberg Ch. 15 'Solutions & Mixtures,' pp. 267-273			
-	ð	Dill and Bromberg Ch. 31 'Polymer Solutions,' pp. 593-605.			
Supplementary Reading:	Ą	Details of rotational, vibrational, and electronic partition functions for simple molecules: Engel and Reid 32.5-32-9			

Last time

The Boltzmann Factor and Partition Function: systems at constant temperature

How do we treat systems at constant temperature in statistical mechanics? We needed to determine how
the probability of model microstates depends on temperature. We found the answer by minimizing the
Helmholtz free energy with respect to the possible microstate probabilities p_i. This analysis gave us the
Boltzmann factor and the partition function:

$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}} \left(F - d \left(\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}^{*} \right) \right) = 0$ HELMHOLTE F.E.	$Q = \frac{Parainon}{Function} = \frac{1}{2}$ $-E_j/kT$ $P_j = \frac{e}{Q}$	BOLZEMMIN FACCORS
ficontrol o tro.	Q	

 Once we had the concept of the partition function, we began tackling a first example problem: the Einstein solid. Atoms of a crystalline solid are assumed to vibrate in x, y, and z with a single well-defined frequency as quantum mechanical harmonic oscillators. We started by solving for the molecular partition function:



 From here we determined the partition function for a system of N non-interacting, identical, sistinguishable oscillators:

tr (Enx+Eny+En



The partition function for this simple model allowed calculations of the internal energy and heat capacity
of a crystalline solid:

$$U = -\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} \longrightarrow C_{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial U \\ \partial T \end{pmatrix}_{V}^{2} \frac{e^{T}}{\left(e^{T} - 1\right)^{2}} \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{kT}$$

$$Materials - Specific$$

$$\Theta_{G} = \frac{EINSTEIN}{TEMP} = \frac{hv}{k_{b}} = \frac{hv}{k_{b}}$$

Criter 3



Figure by MIT OCW.

A better model: The Debye solid

 The Einstein model makes the simplification of assuming the atoms of the solid vibrate at a single, unique frequency:





to reproduce such a distribution would likely

Figure by MIT OCW.

be difficult to produce.

'g' in Figure 5-4 above from Hill is the distribution of vibrational frequencies present in the crystal. In the Einstein model, only one vibrational frequency is assumed for all atoms in the crystal. However, atoms sitting on different lattice sites may have difference accessible vibrational frequencies- which depend on what neighbors they 'feel' around them- this is seen in the complex distribution of vibrational frequencies shown in Figure 22.8 from Mortimer for a real sample of copper. The Debye model approximates the true frequency distribution by assuming the distribution shown in Figure 5-4(b): a distribution that is continuous up to some frequency cut-off (v_m). The Debye expression for heat capacity becomes:



 This approximation leads to a heat capacity behavior near zero Kelvin which better captures experimentally-observed behavior:

$$T \to 0, \ C_{V} \to \frac{12Nk_{b}\pi^{4}}{5} \left(\frac{T}{\theta_{D}}\right)^{3}$$
 OBSERVED
where $\theta_{D} \equiv \left(\frac{hv_{m}}{k_{b}}\right) =$ Debye temperature

The Debye model performs quite well for predicting the thermal behavior of many solid materials:



Figure by MIT OCW.

Examination of heat capacities of different materials

 If heat capacities correlate with molecular degrees of freedom in a material, we might expect materials that have similar degrees of freedom to have similar heat capacities. This is in fact seen for many materials. Consider first a comparison of the heat capacity in 3 different crystalline non-metals:²



Figure by MIT OCW.

(Ge crystal structure from www.webelements.com)

 Thus in these structurally-related crystals, the heat capacity per N_{Av} atoms is very similar, ~3R, or 25 J/mole K. We will show later in the term that this plateau value can be predicted by treating the atoms in the solid as a collection of harmonic oscillators.



Figure by MIT OCW.

Degrees of freedom in molecular models(1)

Excitations in materials

• We modeled the atomic vibrations in a crystalline solid using 3 degrees of freedom- harmonic oscillations in *X*, *Y*, and *Z*. We saw that a model using only these 3 degrees of freedom provides reasonable predictions for the behavior of the heat capacity of many solids. Other materials may have other important degrees of freedom that we should account for to obtain good statistical mechanics predictions of their behavior. The important molecular degrees of freedom include:





Internal rotational states in molecules

Vibration

• The electronic glue holding molecules together allows vibrations that store energy:



Complete molecular partition functions

• A complex system may have all of these degrees of freedom. To make calculations for a given model, we need to know how to put these degrees of freedom together in the partition function.

Independent degrees of freedom

A common approximation is to assume that each degree of freedom in the molecules of the system is independent, with a unique amount of energy for each possible state of that degree of freedom (let's use DOF as an abbreviation for degree of freedom). Thus a molecule with both vibrational and electronic DOFs has states characterized by one total energy containing independent contributions from the vibration and electronic excitations:

TIONAL + ELEC ETOTAL = E) D.O.F IN A SYSTEM, INDEPENDENT; MODERNES VIBRATIONAL + ELEC

Lecture 24 – Lattice Models of Materials 12/5/05

 The subscript j refers to the single state that has the given characteristic vibrational and electronic energy. Because we assume they are independent, the value of E_j^{vib} does not depend on the

value of E_i^{elec} , and vice versa. The partition function of this system with independent DOFs is: $V_i^{B} = E_i^{V_i} = G_i^{V_i} = G_i^{V_i}$ ALL STATES = GUIR GELOI 9TOTAL = 2

- Where the independent energies have been split off into partition functions for each DOF, . q_{vib} and q_{elec} .
- In general, a complete molecular partition function made up of independent degrees of freedom 0 can be written as the product of the individual DOF partition functions:

GTOTAL = QVIB GTRANS (ELEC GROT IN GENERAL . FOR INDEPENDENT D.O.F.

Lattice models for translational degrees of freedom

• We introduced statistical mechanics as a set of tools for calculating macroscopic thermodynamic quantities from molecular models. These models can be derived either from quantum mechanics (e.g. the Einstein solid) or from simpler non-quantum models. There are many cases when the quantum nature of available energies in the system of interest do not dominate and we can use so-called 'coarse-grained' *lattice models* to capture the important aspects of the material's behavior.

LATTICE Real molecule coarse grain 9 = 9 ELEC Pris PAOT 9 TRANS 9-29 TRANS QUANTUM STATES FOR MANY CALCULATIONS (E.g., MIXING TWO MATERIALS), THE INTERNAL D.O.F. OF COMPONENTS ARE UNCHANGED DURING PROCESS (TO FIRST ORDER) -> NEGLECT THESE IN OUR MODEL $A \overline{G}^{nix} = \overline{G}^{soution} - \overline{G}^{innix}$ Earlier, we have seen that chemical potential models such as the regular solution or ideal solution \circ mimic some real experimental data reasonably well. However, a question unanswered is- where did this model come from? What about the regular solution model- how do molecular interactions give rise to miscibility gaps? Answers to these questions can be found in simple lattice models. MIXING Z MOLECULES AND B Assumptions in simple lattice models A B ASSUME: $\overline{V}_{A} = \overline{V}_{B} = \overline{V}_{ISITE}$ A lattice mixture of two components A and B. The number of A's is NA and the number of B's is Na. The total number of lattice sites is $N = N_A + N_a$. All 3 AND B MIX RANDOMLY sites are filled. 3) ONLY CONTRIBUTION TO STATES IS TRANSLATION Figure by MIT OCW. (4) ALL MOLECULES OF A GIVEN TYPE ARE INDISTINGUISHABLE (BUT IDENTICAL, W = STATES = CONFIGURATIONS FOR A GIVEN TYPE) OF COMPONENT(ON) LATTICE Lecture 24 – Lattice Models of Materials 11 of 19 12/5/05

In this simple lattice model, we assume that only translational degrees of freedom matter in the determination of possible statistical mechanical states- the states of the system are simply defined by the number of unique ways the molecules can be arranged on the lattice. We take *N* for the total number of molecules (*N* = *N*_A + *N*_B). To determine the entropy of mixing, we need the number of distinguishable states W for the mixed and unmixed components. For the unmixed pure components, there is only one distinguishable state (all lattice sites occupied by either A or B), so the entropy is 0 (*S* = *k ln* 1 = 0).



- These assumptions can be used to derive both the ideal solution model of the chemical potential and the regular solution chemical potential.
- As an example of the utility of lattice models for materials, we will now derive the entropy and enthalpy of mixing using a simple lattice model for polymer solutions, based on the Flory-Huggins theory of polymer solutions.
 - Paul J. Flory's extensive work on the statistical thermodynamics of polymers was awarded the nobel prize in chemistry in 1974

Figure by MIT OCW.

Image removed for copyright reasons. Photograph of P.J. Flory.

READING FROM DILL AND BROMBERG

Flory-Huggins Theory of Polymer Solutions

Flory-Huggins theory was developed to provide a molecular theoretical basis for the free energy behavior of polymer solutions- to allow predictions of miscibility behavior based on polymer molecular structure. Thus, our objective is to derive a theoretical description of the free energy of mixing, which can be used to predict phase diagrams of polymer solutions:

$$\Delta G^{mix} = \Delta H^{mix} - T\Delta S^{mix} = ?$$

The entropy of polymer solutions

SITES IN UNTICE = M

COORDINATION # = Z (NENZEST NEIGHBORG)

To model a polymer solution- a collection of high molecular weight polymer chains mixed with a smallmolecule solvent, we model the polymer chains as beads connected by unbreakable bonds on a cubic lattice. Solvent molecules fill single sites of the 3D lattice:

TOTAL VOLUME :



$$\Delta S^{mix} = S^{solution} - S^{unmixed} = S^{solution} - \left(S^{\text{pure polymer}} + S^{\text{pure solvent}}\right)$$

We are thus looking to derive expressions for W^{solution} and W^{pure polymer}- the number of configurations
possible for the polymer + solvent or the polymer alone on a 3D lattice.

CONFIGURATIONS OF A SINGLE CHAIN

- · We start by looking at the number of ways to place a single polymer chain on the lattice:
 - What are the number of conformations for first bead?

M total sites

	0	
1		

 With the first bead placed on the lattice, what is the number of possible locations for the second segment of the chain?



Excluded volume. Number of available neighbor sites is reduced as chains fill up the lattice

Moving on to placement of the third segment of the chain:



• We repeat this process to place all N segments of the chain on the lattice, and arrive at v_1 , the total number of configurations for a single chain:

COUNTING CONFIGURATIONS FOR A COLLECTION OF CHAINS

 We can follow the same procedure shown above for a single chain to obtain the number of configurations possible for an entire set of np chains. We start by placing the FIRST SEGMENT OF ALL n_p CHAINS. The number of configurations for the first segment of all n_p chains is v_{first}:

The number of configurations for the (N - 1) remaining segments of all np chains is vsubsequent:

• Putting these two configuration counts together, we have the total number of configurations for the collection of n_p chains of N segments each:

$$W = \frac{v_{first}v_{subsequent}}{n_p!}$$

 The factor of n_p! Corrects for the over-counting since the polymer chains are indistinguishable, and we can't tell the difference between two configurations with the same polymer distributions but different chain identities:



These two possible configurations are indistinguishable in the system.

- · We are now ready to calculate the number of unique states for the unmixed and mixed states:
 - UNMIXED STATE:

PURE SOLVENT:



Figure by MIT OCW.



$$Nn_{p} = M$$

$$W_{\text{pure polymer}} = \left(\frac{z-1}{M}\right)^{n_{p}(N-1)} \frac{M!}{(M-Nn_{p})n_{p}!}$$

 $S^{\text{pure polymer}} = k_b \ln W_{\text{pure polymer}}$

Figure by MIT OCW.

MIXED STATE:

$$Nn_{p} + n_{s} = M$$

$$W_{solution} = \left(\frac{z-1}{M}\right)^{n_{p}(N-1)} \frac{M!}{(M-Nn_{p})n_{p}!}$$

$$\Delta S^{mix} = S^{solution} - S^{unmixed} = S^{solution} - \left(S^{pure solvent} + S^{pure polymer}\right) =$$

$$= S^{solution} - S^{pure polymer}$$

$$= k_{b} \ln \frac{W_{solution}}{W_{pure polymer}}$$

• Applying Stirling's approximation: $\ln x! \approx x \ln x - x$

• ... arriving at a final result:



 $\therefore \Delta S^{mix} = -k_b \left[n_s \ln \phi_s + n_p \ln \phi_p \right]$

References

.

- 1. Dill, K., and S. Bromberg. 2003. *Molecular Driving Forces*, New York.
- 2. Mortimer, R. G. 2000. *Physical Chemistry*. Academic Press, New York.

3.