Wave-particle Duality: Electrons are not *just* particles

- Compton, Planck, Einstein
 - light (xrays) can be 'particle-like'
- DeBroglie
 - matter can act like it has a 'wave-nature'
- Schrodinger, Born
 - Unification of wave-particle duality, Schrodinger Equation

Light has momentum: Compton

- No way for xray to change λ after interacting classically
- Experimentally: Compton Shift in λ
- Photons are 'particle-like': transfer momentum



- Blackbody radiation: energy density at a given v (or λ) should be predictable
- Missing higher frequencies! (ultra-violet catastrophe)



Finding N(v): Inside box, metal walls are perfect reflectors for the E-M waves

$$E_{i} = E_{oi}e^{i(\omega t - kz)}; E_{r} = E_{or}e^{i(\omega t + kz)} \quad \text{Perfect reflection, } E_{oi} = -E_{or}$$
$$E_{tot} = E_{oi}e^{i\omega t} \left[e^{-ikz} - e^{ikz}\right] = -2iE_{oi}e^{i\omega t} \sin kz$$



Therefore, sinkz must equal zero at z=0 and z=L

$$\sin kL = 0; kL = \pi n; k = \frac{\pi n}{L}$$
 Also, since $k=2\pi/\lambda$, $n = \frac{2L}{\lambda}$ or $\lambda = \frac{2L}{n}$

In 3-D,
$$\lambda = \frac{2L}{\sqrt{n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2}}$$

Note that the wavelength for E-M waves is 'quantized' classically just by applying a confining boundary condition

$$v = \frac{c\sqrt{n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2}}{2L} = \frac{c|\vec{n}|}{2L} \qquad \vec{n} = n_x\hat{i} + n_y\hat{j} + n_z\hat{k}$$



1 state (i.e. 1 wavelength or frequency) in (c/2L)³ volume in 'n-space'

2 possible wave polarizations for each state

(Note also that postive octant is only active one since n is positive: shows as 1/8 factor below)

Using the assumption that v >> c/2L,

$$N = \frac{\frac{1}{8} \frac{4\pi v^3}{3}}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c}{2L}\right)^3} = \frac{8L^3 v^3 \pi}{3c^3}$$

$$N(v) = \frac{dN}{dv} = \frac{8L^3v^2\pi}{c^3}$$

Now that N(v), the number of E-M waves expected in v+dv, has been determined simply by boundary conditions, the energy of a wave must be determined for deriving $\rho(v)$

$$\rho(v) = \frac{N(v)E_{wave}}{volume} = \frac{\frac{8\pi v^2 L^3}{c^3}kT}{L^3} = \frac{8\pi v^2 kT}{c^3}$$

The classical assumption was used, i.e. $E_{wave} = k_b T$ This results in a $\rho(v)$ that goes as v^2

At higher frequencies, blackbody radiation deviates substantially from this dependence



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

•Classical $E=k_bT$ comes from assumption that Boltzmann distribution determines number of waves at a particular E for a given T •Since N(v) can not the problem with $\rho(v)$, it must be E

•E must be a function of v in order to have the experimental data work out

Origin of $E = k_b T$

Boltzmann distribution is $P'(E) = Ae^{-\frac{L}{k_bT}}$

Normalized distribution is
$$P(E) = \int_{0}^{\infty} P'(E) dE = 1; A = \frac{1}{k_b T}$$

Average energy of particles/waves with this distribution:

$$\overline{E} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} EP(E)dE}{\int_{0}^{\infty} P(E)dE} = \text{if } P(E) \text{ is normalized} = \int_{0}^{\infty} EP(E)dE = k_{b}T$$

•If P(E) were to decrease at higher E, than $\rho(\nu)$ would not have ν^2 dependence at higher ν •P(E) will decrease at higher E if E is a function of ν

•Experimental fit to data suggests that E is a linear function in v, therefore E=nhv where h is some constant

$$\overline{E} = \frac{\sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{nh\nu}{k_b T} e^{-\frac{nh\nu}{k_b T}}}{\sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_b T} e^{-\frac{nh\nu}{k_b T}}} = \frac{h\nu}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{k_b T}} - 1}$$

Note: the integrals need to be removed in the average and replaced with sums since the spacing of energies becomes greater as E increases

$$\rho(v) = \frac{8\pi v^2}{c^3} \frac{hv}{e^{\frac{hv}{k_bT}} - 1}$$

h determined by an experimental fit and equals

At small $h\nu/k_bT$, $e^{h\nu/kT} \sim 1 + h\nu/k_bT$ and $\rho(\nu) \sim k_bT$ At large $h\nu/k_bT$, $\sim h\nu e^{-h\nu/kT}$ which goes to 0 at high E

- Lessons from Planck Blackbody
 - waves which are confined with boundary conditions have only certain λ available: quantized
 - $-E=nh\nu$, and therefore E-M waves must come in chunks of energy: photon E=h ν . Energy is therefore quantized as well
 - -Quantized energy can affect properties in non-classical situations; classical effects still hold in other situations

Light is always quantized: Photoelectric effect (Einstein)

- Planck (and others) really doubted fit, and didn't initially believe h was a universal constant
- Photoelectric effect shows that E=hv even outside the box



For light with $v < v_c$, no matter what the intensity, no e-



Strange consequence of Compton plus E=hv: light has momentum but no mass

$$\lambda = \frac{hc}{E} = \frac{h}{p}$$
 since $E = cp$ for a photon

DeBroglie: Matter is Wave

- His PhD thesis!
- $\lambda = h/p$ also for matter
- To verify, need very light matter (p small) so λ is large enough
- Need small periodic structure on scale of λ to see if wave is there (diffraction)
- Solution:electron diffraction from a crystal



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

 $N\lambda = 2dsin\theta$

For small θ , $\theta \sim \lambda/d$, so λ must be on order of d in order to measure easily

Diffraction

- Incoming λ must be on the order of the lattice constant a or so (λ<~ few tenths of a nanometers)
- x-rays will work (later, show electrons are waves also and they can be used for diffraction also)
- x-rays generated by core e- transitions in atoms
 - distinct energies: E=hc/λ; E~ 10keV or so (core e- binding energies)
 Collimator crystal (decreases set the content of the content



Example of Diffraction from Thin Film of Different Lattice Constant

- InGaAs on GaAs deposited by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE)
- Can determine lattice constant (In concentration) and film thickness from interference fringes



Example: Heavily B-diffused Si

- B diffusion from borosilicate glass
- creates p++ Si used in micromachining
- gradients created in B concentration and misfit dislocations





DeBroglie: Matter is Wave

Proof electron was wave by transmission and beackscattered experiments, almost simultaneously

Backscattered





©1999 E.A. Fitzgerald

DeBroglie: Matter is Wave

Modern TEM



electron gun, accelerator condenser lens 1 condenser lens 2 sample objective lens diffraction planes projective lens diffraction planes projective lens diffraction image diffraction image diffraction image creal space)image

Image removed due to copyright restrictions.

Please see any schematic of a scanning electron microscope, such as http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Image:MicroscopesOverview.jpg

Courtesy of Uwe Falke. Image from Wikimedia Commons, http://commons.wikimedia.org

Imaging Defects in TEM utilizing Diffraction

- The change in θ of the planes around a defect changes the Bragg condition
- Aperture after sample can be used to filter out beams deflected by defect planes: defect contrast

Image removed due to copyright restrictions. Please see any explanation of detecting dislocations via TEM, such as http://www.uni-saarland.de/fak8/wwm/research/dip_welsch/ecci-defect-scheme_e.png

Imaging Defects and Man-made Epitaxial Structures in TEM utilizing Diffraction

