Atomistic Modeling of Materials Introduction to the Course and Pair Potentials

3.320 Lecture 2 (2/3/05)



Practical Issues



Not feasible with million atom simulations -> use neighbor lists

Minimization

Standard schemes: <u>Conjugate Gradient</u>, Newton-Raphson, Line Minimizations (Using Force)

Typically at least scale as N²

Show movie of dislocation generation

System sizes and Periodicity Finite System (e.g. molecule or cluster)

No problem; -> simply use all the atoms

Infinite System (e.g. solids/liquids)

Do not approximate as finite -> use Periodic Boundary Conditions



For defect calculation unit cell becomes a supercell



How Large Should the Supercell Be?

Investigate Convergence !

Direct Interactions from Energy Expression (potential)

Indirect Interactions due to relaxation (elastic) -> typically much longer range.

For charged defects electrostatic interactions are long-ranged and special methods may be necessary.

Example: Calculating the vacancy formation energy in Al



Figure by MIT OCW.



Some data for real systems

Solid	C ₁₂ /C ₄₄	E _v ^f /E _{coh}	E _{coh} /kT _m
Pair Potential LJ	1.0	1.00	13
<mark>Rare Gases</mark> Ar Kr	1.1 1.0	0.95 0.66	11 12
FCC Metals Ni Cu Pd Ag Pt Au	1.2 1.6 2.5 2.0 3.3 3.7	0.31 0.37 0.36 0.39 0.26 0.23	30 30 25 27 33 34

Figure by MIT OCW.

After Daw, M. S., S. M. Foiles, and M. I. Baskes. "The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications." *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

Surface Relaxation

With potentials relaxation of surface plane is usually outwards, for metals experiments find that it is inwards



Cauchy Problem



Crystal Structures

Pair Potentials can fundamentally not predict crystal structures in metals or covalent solids.

e.g. fcc - bcc energy difference can be shown to be "fourth moment" effect (i.e. it needs four-body interactions)



Effective Medium Theories: The Embedded Atom Method **Problem with potentials**

Cohesive energy depends on number of bonds, but non-linearly

Solution





Atomic densities are tabulated in E. Clementi and C. Roetti, *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables*, Vol 14, p177 (1974).

Clementi and Roetti Tables

Image removed for copyright reasons.

Clementi and Roetti [At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14, 177 (1974).

Clementi and Roetti Tables

Image removed for copyright reasons.

Clementi and Roetti [At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14, 177 (1974).

The Embedding Function

Can be represented either analytically or in Table form

$$F(\rho) = F_0 \left[\frac{n}{n-m} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right)^m - \frac{m}{n-m} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right)^n \right] + F_1 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right)^n \right] \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right)^n \right]$$

$$F(\rho) = \left[1 - \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right)\right] \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_e}\right]$$

More typically, embedding function is tabulated so as to give an exact fit to the equation of state (Energy versus volume)

Convexity of the Embedding Function



Source: Daw, M. S., Foiles, S. M. & Baskes, M. I. The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications. *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

The complete energy expression: Embedding energy + pair potential



Pair potential can have any form, often screened electrostatic used

$$\phi_{AB}(r) = \frac{q_A(r)q_B(r)}{r}$$
with $q(r) = q_0(1 + \beta r^{\nu}) e^{-\alpha r}$

EAM: The Physical Concept

Bonding energy (embedding energy) due to Electron Delocalization

As electrons get more states to spread out over their kinetic energy decreases. When an impurity is put into a metal its energy is lowered because the electrons from the impurity can delocalize into the solid $2^{2}\psi$

The embedding density (electron density at the embedding site) is a measure of the number of states available to delocalize onto

Inherently MANY BODY effect

EAM is similar to many other effective medium theories.

Other theories differ in the "non-linearity" used or the measure of "embedding density"

•Glue model (Ercollesi, Tosatti and Parrinello)

•Finnis Sinclair Potentials

•Equivalent Crystal Models (Smith and Banerjee)

EAM is similar to Pair Potentials in computational intensity

$$E_{coh} = \sum_{atoms \, i} F_i \left(\sum_{j \neq i} f(r_{ij}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} V(r_{ij})$$

Typical Data to fit EAM parameters to

Pure metal properties used to determine the functions: equilibrium lattice constants, sublimation energy, bulk modulus, elastic constants, and vacancy-formation energy. Where two numbers are given, the top number is the value calculated with these functions and the lower number is the experimental value.

	Cu	Ag	Au	Ni	Pd	Pt
a ₀ (Å)	3.615	4.09	4.08	3.52	3.89	3.92
E _{sub} (eV)	3.54	2.85	3.93	4.45	3.91	5.77
B(ergs/cm ³)	1.38	1.04	1.67	1.804	1.95	2.83
$C_{11}(ergs/cm^3)$	1.67	1.29	1.83	2.33	2.18	3.03
	1.70	1.24	1.86	2.465	2.341	3.47
$C_{12}(ergs/cm^3)$	1.24	0.91	1.59	1.54	1.84	2.73
	1.225	0.934	1.57	1.473	1.76	2.51
$C_{44}(ergs/cm^3)$	0.76	0.57	0.45	1.28	0.65	0.68
	0.758	0.461	0.42	1.247	0.712	0.765
$E_{\upsilon}^{f}(eV)$	1.28	0.97	1.03	1.63	1.44	1.68
	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.6	1.4	1.5

Figure by MIT OCW.



data from Daw, M. S., Foiles, S. M. & Baskes, M. I. The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications. *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

Some results: Activation Energy for Self Diffusion (in eV)

Element	EAM	Experiment
Cu	2.02	2.07
Ag	1.74	1.78
Au	1.69	1.74
Ni	2.81	2.88
Pd	2.41	< 2.76
Pt	2.63	2.66

data from Elsevier from Daw, M. S., Foiles, S. M. & Baskes, M. I. The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications. *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

Some results: Surface Energy and Relaxation

Calculated surface energies of the low-index faces and the experimental average surface energy in units of erg/cm². The theoretical results are from Foiles et al.

Face	Cu	Ag	Au	Ni	Pd	Pt
(100)	1280	705	918	1580	1370	1650
(110)	1400	770	980	1730	1490	1750
(111)	1170	620	790	1450	1220	1440
Experimental (average face)	1790	1240	1500	2380	2000	2490

Relaxation of the top-layer spacing Δz_{12} , and of the second-layer spacing Δz_{23} , for the low-index faces. For the sake of comparison, these values are calculated for unreconstructed geometries. Distances are expressed in Å. From Foiles et al.

Face	Cu	Ag	Au	Ni	Pd	Pt
(100) $\Delta z_{12} \\ \Delta z_{23}$	-0.03	-0.04	-0.13	-0.00	-0.09	-0.14
	-0.01	-0.00	0.01	-0.00	-0.00	0.01
(110) $\Delta z_{12} \\ \Delta z_{23}$	-0.06	-0.07	-0.22	-0.03	-0.16	-0.24
	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	-0.02	0.04
(111) $\Delta z_{12} \\ \Delta z_{23}$	-0.03	-0.03	-0.10	-0.01	-0.07	-0.11
	-0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02

Tables by MIT OCW.

After Daw, M. S., S. M. Foiles, and M. I., Baskes. "The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications." *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

Some results: Phonon Dispersion for fcc Cu



After Daw, M. S., S. M. Foiles, and M. I. Baskes. "The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications." *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

Some results: Melting Points

Element		EAM		Experiment
Cu		1340	(_)	1358
Ag		1170	\leftarrow	1234
Au		1090		1338
Ni		1740		1726
Pd	Ń	1390		1825
Pt	Щ	1480		2045

data from Elsevier from Daw, M. S., Foiles, S. M. & Baskes, M. I. The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications. *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).





Figure by MIT OCW.

After Daw, M. S., S. M. Foiles, and M. I., Baskes. "The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications." *Materials Science Reports* **9**, 251 (1993).

Some results: Grain Boundary in Al

Image removed for copyright reasons.

Source: Daw, M. S., Foiles, S. M. and M. I. Baskes. "The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications." *Materials Science Reports* 9, 251 (1993). **Issues and Problems with EAM** Bonding is Spherical: Limitation in early transition metals and covalent systems - MEAMO

Potential is not unique: Some part of the energy can be divided arbitrarily between pair potential and embedding function. Note that the linear part of the embedding function is equivalent to a pair potential

Limitations in Alloys: In elements, any error arising form using the atomic electron density is absorbed when the Embedding function F is fitted. In A-B alloys F has to work for electron density from both A and B



Summary: Effective Medium Theories are a significant improvement over pair potentials for metals, at almost no computational cost. Hence there is no reason NOT to use them. Be aware of problems in trying to do too much subtle chemistry with them.

Resources for Embedded Atom Method

Some useful lecture notes and examples

http://www.ide.titech.ac.jp/~takahak/EAMers/





Stillinger Webber Potential for Si

$$V_{3} = \lambda \exp\left[\gamma \left(r_{ij} - a\right)^{-1} + \gamma \left(r_{ik} - a\right)^{-1}\right] \cos \theta_{ijk} + \frac{1}{3}\right]^{2}$$
$$V_{2} = A\left[Br^{-p} - r^{-q}\right] \exp\left[\left(r - a\right)^{-1}\right]$$

Surface Reconstruction for Si





Diagram removed for copyright reasons.



Surface Reconstruction for Si

Diagram removed for copyright reasons.

7x7 reconstruction for Si(100) is not reproduced

A multitude of potentials for Si

Angular Potential



Graph removed for copyright reasons.

V

Comparison between potentials

While most potentials give similar static properties (since they are usually fitted to static properties) they often result in different dynamics.

See for example: Nurminen et al. Physical Review B **67** 035405 (2003).

Image removed for copyright reasons.

References for Si Potentials

1) Keating:

P.N.Keating, Phys.Rev. 145, 637(1966)

Valid only for small deviations from the ideal diamond lattice sites. Used for elastic constants and phonon properties.

2) Stillinger-Weber:

F.H.Stillinger and T.A. Weber, Phys. Rev. B 31, 5262 (1985) 2 and 3 body terms. Fitted to stable crystal structure, reasonable melting temperature and g(r) in the liquid.

3) Tersoff:

J. Tersoff, Physical Review B, vol.38, (no.14):9902-5 (1988) pair functional. gets good elastic properties, stable crystal structures, liquid properties.

4) Biswas-Hamann

R. Biswas and D.R. Hamann, Phys.Rev.Lett. 55,2001(1985) R. Biswas and D.R. Hamann, Phys.Rev.B 36, 6434 (1987) Rather complicated to evaluate. Two versions. The first is longer ranged than the second. The old one is better at bulk metallic Si phases and high pressure transitions of Si. The new one does better for layered and interstitial structures.

5) Embedded Atom

M.I.Baskes, Phys.Rev.Lett. 59,2666(1987)

Modification of the EAM of metals to deal with covalent bonding, including

and angle-dependent electron density to model the effects of bond bending.

Fitted to Si lattice constant, sublimation energy and elastic constants. Reproduces well the LDA structural geometries and energies.

2/3/05 M

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

6) Kaxiras-Pandey Kaxiras, E.; Pandey, K.C., Physical Review B vol.38,

12736 (1988)

2 and 3 body fitted to self diffusion paths in pure silicon. Suited for molecular dynamics simulations of atomic processes in Si.

Others:

o Pearson, Takai, Halicioglu and Tiller, J.Cryst.Growth 70,33(1984)

o Dodson, Phys.Rev.B 35,2795(1987)

- o Khor and Das Sarma, Several articles in PRB 1988-89.
- o Chelikowsky, J.R.; Phillips, J.C.; Kamal, M.; Stauss, M., Phys Rev Lett 62, 292(1989)

A comparison between 6 of these potentials can be found in Balamane, H.; Halicioglu, T.; Tiller, W.A. Comparative study of silicon empirical interatomic potentials. Physical Review B 46,2250 (1992)

For a review and comparison of valence force field potentials (i.e., potentials that only describe small displacements from the ideal sites, like the Keating potential), see Stoneham, A.M.; Torres, V.T.B.; Masri, P.M.; Schober, H.R. Philosophical Magazine A 58,93 (1988)

3.320: Atomistic Modeling of Materials G. Ceder and N Marzari