## Chapter 11 : Multiple Linear Regression

We have:

|  | height | weight | $\ldots$ | age | amount of <br> lemonade purchased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| person 1: | $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $x_{1 k}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| person 2: | $x_{21}$ | $x_{22}$ | $\ldots$ | $x_{2 k}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| : |  |  |  |  |  |

where we assume

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\beta_{2} x_{i 2}+\cdots+\beta_{k} x_{i k}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\epsilon_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$. The $x_{i}$.'s are not random.
Is there any way we can fit something that isn't linear? Like a polynomial?
We can do least squares to find $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{k}$ : Minimize $Q$ where:

$$
Q=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\beta_{2} x_{i 2}+\cdots+\beta_{k} x_{i k}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Solve it the same way as we did in Chapter 10: set $\partial Q / \partial \beta_{j}=0$ for all $j$. In this case, we'll let the computer solve it for us. So now we have all the $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ 's.

To assess the goodness of fit, again define:

$$
\mathrm{SSE}=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2} \text { where } \hat{y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i 1}+\hat{\beta}_{2} x_{i 2}+\cdots+\hat{\beta}_{k} x_{i k}
$$

and compare with:

$$
\mathrm{SST}=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} .
$$

Again, SSR $=$ SST- SSE.
The coefficient of "multiple" determination is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{SSR}}{\mathrm{SST}}=1-\frac{\mathrm{SSE}}{\mathrm{SST}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This time, by convention,

$$
r=+\sqrt{1-\frac{\mathrm{SSE}}{\mathrm{SST}}} .
$$

The square root is only positive, since it is not meaningful to assign an association between $y$ and multiple $x$ 's.

For hypothesis testing, we'll need to know:

1. Each of the coefficients obeys:

$$
\hat{\beta}_{j} \sim N\left(\beta_{j}, \sigma^{2} V_{j j}\right)
$$

where $V_{j j}$ is the j'th diagonal entry of $V=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}, j=0,1, \cdots, k$
2. Because we don't know $\sigma^{2}$, we use

$$
S E\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=s \sqrt{V_{j j}}
$$

where $s^{2}=\frac{S S E}{n-(k+1)}$
We could do the hypothesis tests on each $\beta_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0 j}: \beta_{j}=\beta_{j}^{0} \\
& H_{1 j}: \beta_{j} \neq \beta_{j}^{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reject $H_{0 j}$ when

$$
\left|t_{j}\right|=\frac{\left|\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}^{0}\right|}{S E\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}>t_{n-(k+1), \alpha / 2}
$$

and thus if $\beta_{j}^{0}=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0 j}: \beta_{j}=0 \\
& H_{1 j}: \beta_{j} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reject $H_{0 j}$ when

$$
\left|t_{j}\right|=\frac{\left|\hat{\beta}_{j}\right|}{S E\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}>t_{n-(k+1), \alpha / 2}
$$

Or we could test all $\beta_{j}$ 's simultaneously:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\cdots=\beta_{k}=0 \\
& H_{1}: \beta_{i}=0 \text { for at least one } i .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reject $H_{0}$ when $F>f_{k, n-(k+1), \alpha}$ where:

$$
F=\frac{M S R}{M S E}=\frac{\frac{S S R}{k}}{\frac{S S E}{n-(k+1)}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{k} .
$$

Both the numerator and the denominator look like sample variances so you could see the intuition why $\frac{M S R}{M S E}$ has an F-distribution.

Equivalently:

$$
F=\frac{M S R}{M S E}=\frac{\frac{S S R}{k}}{\frac{S S E}{n-(k+1)}} \stackrel{(?)}{=} \frac{\frac{r^{2} S S T}{k}}{\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) S S T}{n-(k+1)}}=\frac{r^{2}(n-k-1)}{k\left(1-r^{2}\right)}
$$

Where did the (?) step come from?
Note: The F-test above does not tell you which $\beta_{j}$ s are nonzero.
But then how do you do that?
Note: Beware of multicollinearity, meaning that some of the factors in the model can be determined from the others (i.e. they are linearly dependent).

Example: for savings, income, expenditure where
savings = income - expenditure.

This makes computation numerically unstable and $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ are not statistically significant. To avoid this, use only income and expenditure, not savings. (Or savings and income, not expenditure, etc.)

## Corresponding ANOVA regression table

| Source of variation | sum of squares | d.f. | Mean Square | $F$ | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | SSR | $k$ | $\mathrm{MSR}=\frac{\mathrm{SSR}}{k}$ | $F=\frac{\mathrm{MSR}}{\mathrm{MSE}}$ | p -value |
| Error | SSE | $n-(k+1)$ | $\mathrm{MSE}=\frac{\mathrm{SSE}}{n-(k+1)}$ |  |  |
| Total | SST | $n-1$ |  |  |  |

We can also put the hypothesis tests for the individual $\beta_{j}$ 's in a table:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { predictor } & \mathrm{SE} & \mathrm{t} \text {-statistic } & \mathrm{p} \text {-value } \\
\hline \hat{\beta}_{0} & S E\left(\hat{\beta_{0}}\right) & t=\frac{\hat{\beta_{0}}}{S E\left(\hat{\beta_{0}}\right)} & \mathrm{p} \text {-value } \\
\hat{\beta_{1}} & S E\left(\hat{\beta_{1}}\right) & t=\frac{\hat{\beta_{1}}}{S E\left(\hat{\beta_{1}}\right)} & \mathrm{p} \text {-value } \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\hat{\beta_{k}} & S E\left(\hat{\beta_{k}}\right) & t=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{k}}{S E\left(\hat{\beta_{k}}\right)} & \mathrm{p} \text {-value }
\end{array}
$$
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