# 15.S50 - Poker Theory and Analytics 

Tournaments


## Tournaments

- Tournament Life Cycle
- Flop Turn River Play
- Psychology
- Bankroll Management
- PokerStars Prize League Results


## Cash Games vs Tournaments

| Cash Games | Tournaments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chips = Winnings | Finish Position = Winnings |
| Chip EV = \$EV | Chip EV $\cong \$ E V$ |
| Come-and-Go at Will | Locked into Tournament Structure |
| Unlimited Buy-ins | One Fixed Buy-in |
| Fixed Blinds | Increasing Blind Levels |
| Table Selection | Player Pool Selection |
| Higher Liquidity | Higher Overhead |
| Lower Variance | Higher Variance |
| Well-documented | Limited Literature |

## Why tournaments?

- Tournaments are more difficult to master
- Cash games have lots of published literature, tournaments have very little
- Tournaments are generally more publicized
- Tournament results are permanent (track record)
- Tournaments let you play higher stakes against people used to lower stakes
- ROI on tournaments is generally higher


## Why not tournaments?

- Tournaments are harder to master
- Less obvious when you're bad, long run takes forever
- More variance
- Tournaments take a long time
- High stakes generally required to get a worthwhile hourly
- Lots of incidental costs like dealer tipping, travel/hotels
- Requires more planning/research


## Tournament Life Cycle

The most important tournament concept is tempo

- Early Game
- Bubble and Early Cashes
- Late Game/Final Table


## Early Game

- Early Game is the majority of the tournament
- Play is very similar to cash games
- Chip EV = \$EV
- Playing style based on stack size
- Establishing reads/image is extra value


## Stack Sizes

- $\mathrm{M}<2$ - Dead Zone
- $2<\mathrm{M}<8$ - Steal Period
- $8<\mathrm{M}<12$ - Steal/Re-steal Period
- $12<\mathrm{M}<30$ - Value Betting Zone
- M > 30 - Set Mining Zone


## A note on tournament tempo

- The most important aspect of a tournament is playing at the right level of aggression
- You will have to win coin flips to cash
- That's inevitable
- Your goal is to slowly grow your stack without showdowns and avoid voluntarily entering flips


## M < 2 - Dead Zone

- If you get to this Zone, it should only be because you lost a hand where you had slightly more chips
- You have virtually no Fold Equity since the BB will have odds to call any push
- Your goal is to get enough chips to regain Fold Equity
- Never fall under $\mathrm{M}=1$ under any circumstance
- This is particularly bad since even if you win at Showdown, you will still be in the dead zone


## $2<M<8$ - Steal Period

- Your only move here is all-in or fold
- Every time you steal blinds, your stack increases by 10\%-40\%
- This is much more value than the edge you have by playing good cards
- Identify players who don't protect their blinds and steal from them
- Adopt an image of someone who defends their blinds, but don't
- Avoid showdowns if at all possible
- Gap Theory (Sklansky)
- Calling requires a much stronger hand than betting


## $8<M<12$ - Steal/Re-steal Period

- At this point, you have the option to fold to a raise
- Why? Your standard steal-bet will be 3BB, or about 2M.
- A re-raise of 6 M more will make it +EV to call with $6 / 17=35 \%$ equity, so it's not + EV to call ATC vs a re-raise


## $15<M<30$ - Value Betting Zone

- In this zone, you might actually see some flops
- Avoid flat calling pre flop, come in for a raise and c-bet the flop when heads up
- I think it's okay to go broke on a set on an uncorrelated board
- Don't go broke on a low two pair or TPTK, or a set on a board where a flush/straight is obvious


## M > 30 - Set Mining Zone

- "Set Mining" is when you flat call a pocket pair preflop for cheap with hopes of flopping a hidden set
- In general, I recommend waiting for monster hands and value betting aggressively them once they are made
- When you are in a hand that is raised and re-raised, you should consider how many hands can beat you
- If your hand is not the absolute best possible hand, don't go broke for 50M
- See flops cheaply and use pot odds to assess draws to nut hands
- Use implied odds conservatively
- If you can't keep it together, fold JJ- until you have 30M


## Flop, Turn, River Play

- Psychology - Levels of Thinking
- Medium Stack Play ( $\mathrm{M}>10$ )
- Psychology (Tells and Other Concepts)


## Levels of Thinking

- L0 - Not reading/indifference to hand value
- L1 - What cards do I have? Hand Reading
- L2 - What cards does he have? Opponent Reading
- L3 - What cards does he think I have? Representation
- L4 - What cards does he think he's representing?
- L5 - etc...


## Levels of Thinking
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## Levels of Thinking

- You want to be exactly one level above your opponents
- L0 - Not reading/indifference to hand value
- L1 - What cards do I have? Hand Reading
- L2 - What cards does he have? Opponent Reading
- L3 - What cards does he think I have? Representation


## Annette Obrestad

- Annette
- One of the best poker players from Norway
- Youngest player to win WSOP bracelet @ 18 yrs


Courtesy of Equipo Unibet on Wikimedia Commons. License: CC BY.

- Started playing poker online @ 15 yrs with screen name Annette_15


## Annette Obrestad Interview

- Annette Obrestad's Best Poker Moment: The No-Look Tournament Win. August 2014. Pokerlistings. Accessed March 19, 2015.


## Mismatched Levels of Thinking

- "The Fourth Raise Means Aces"

© Phil Gordon on Wikimedia Commons. License: CC BY-SA. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.
Øbyyat Amazon Gordon, Phil. Phil Gordon's Little Green Book: Lessons and Teachings in No Limit Texas Hold'em. Simon Spotlight, 2005.
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## Mismatched Levels of Thinking

- "The Fourth Raise is Aces"
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## Mismatched Levels of Thinking

- "The Fourth Raise is Aces"

Phil Ivey

© www.LasVegas.com on Wikimedia Commons. License: CC BY-SA. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Level 1 Thinking Player

(C) source unknown. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

## Mismatched Levels of Thinking

- Thinking on Level 3 ("What am I representing?") when opponent isn't trying to read you (Not Level 2)




## Mismatched Levels of Thinking

Villain (LP): \$2000<br>Hero (BTN): \$2000

Blinds 25/50

Pre Flop: (\$75) Hero is BTN with Ke Je
Villain calls $\$ 50$, Hero raises $\$ 200$ to $\$ 250$, Villain calls

Flop: (\$575) 7\% T\& 3\% (2 players)
Villain bets $\$ 200$, Hero raises $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, Villain
raises $\$ 1150$ to $\$ 2000$ and is all-in

## Mismatched Levels of Thinking

Flop: (\$575) 7\& T\& 3 (2 players)
Villain bets $\$ 200$, Hero raises $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, Villain raises $\$ 1150$ to $\$ 2000$ and is all-in

Weak Player Ranges
JJ+
AT
TT, 77, 33
T7, T3, 73
Any two

Strong Player<br>Ranges<br>A ${ }^{2}$ X<br>Maybe TT

## Levels of Thinking

- One of your first "reads" will be whether a person is a good or bad player
- Any one player may jump around +/- 1 level depending on the hand
- e.g. some might be good at preflop opponent reading, but will focus on own hand on flop (btw L1 and L2)
- e.g. some might think a low flush is good, but won't think low pair is good (btw L0 and L1)
- A reasonable assumption is that new players are L1, average tournament players are L2, and cash game players are L3


## Example of Level 5 thinking

- For players that are familiar with each other there's a race to a higher level to dominate one-another
- This is more common in cash games, but this example is from a tournament


## Example of Level 5 thinking

- CaRmEIO2008. "WSOP 2011 ME: Shaun Deeb AA's vs. Max Heinzelmann A6." September 2, 2011. YouTube. Accessed March 19, 2015. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dmIIrvcYDtY


## Strong vs Weak Treadmill

Actual Hand
Level 1 (reading) Strong
Level 3 (representation) Strong
Level 5
Level 7
Strong

# Represented Hand 

Strong
Weak
Strong
Weak

## Why are we learning about levels of thinking?

- My recommendations for flop, turn, river play assumes or opponents are average players
- Capable of doing basic opponent reading
- This strategy isn't optimal against very new players
- This strategy is probably losing to pros
- It's at least enough to beat low-stakes games


## Flop, Turn, River Play

- Psychology - Levels of Thinking
- Medium Stack Play ( $\mathrm{M}>10$ )
- Psychology (Tells and Other Concepts)


## Flop, Turn, River Play

- The basic idea will be to provide the value of different hands during each stage and to recommend betting aggressively
- Standard bets are 3BB + 1BB for each previous caller preflop
- Flop-turn-river bets should be around $2 / 3$ rds of the pot to discourage draws
- This is only good for $\mathrm{M}>10$
- For $\mathrm{M}<10$, this will be reviews in the "Pre-flop" lecture later
- For M > 30, I recommend very conservative play


## Pre-flop Play

- Hands are ranked based on the likelihood of flopping a winning hand
- Position impacts the strength of hands materially because many hands will be winners in late position but losers in early position
- The tiers are roughly correlated with Sklansky-Karlson rankings
- The ranking is generally going to come out of Harrington on Hold Em
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## Pre-flop Play

- You will enter few pots, but will generally be ahead when you play
- You will have easy decisions on the flop, since you will either hit or miss them completely
- You will win medium-size pots, but not huge ones
- Any hand you play should be raised by you to $3 x B B+1$ per caller
- This will be about $15 \%$ of hands
- In general, you'd like to be heads-up by the flop


## Pre-flop Play (Opening)

| Position | Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Blind and UTG/UTG+1 | TT+AQs+AKo+ |
| MP1 MP2 MP3 | $88+$ AJ+ KQ+ |
| LP CO BTN | $77+$, Axs, high suited connectors |
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## Pre-flop Play (Facing a Raise)

| Position | Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Early or Middle Position | TT+AQs+AKo+ |
| LP CO BTN | $88+$ AJ + KQ+ |

## Flop Play

- Any hand where you were the aggressor pre-flop should be c-bet on the flop with a $2 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ pot bet
- This needs to work about $40 \%$ of the time to be profitable
- We don't vary the size to avoid exploitation
- Any hand that isn't TPGK or better is considered a drawing hand and can be treated that way
- So you can semi-bluff or call with good pot odds


## Flop Play

| Tier | Hand |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tier 1 | K-high flush, top straight (unpaired board) <br> Top two sets, full house |
| Tier 2 | A-high flush, top straight (paired board) <br> T-high flush, 2nd straight (unpaired board) <br> Bottom set, any two pair |
| Tier 3 | Overpair, Top-Pair Good-Kicker |
| Drawing | Top-Pair Bad Kicker <br> Mid or Bottom Pair, Pocket Pair <br> 4-flush, straight draw |

## Turn/River Play

- By the Turn, the pot will be about 10 M
- Use hand ranges to get an idea of your hand value
- i.e. what hands could fit his action so far and still beat you


## Reading hand ranges

- After pre-flop, you should have a reasonable idea of your opponents range
- Each decision lets you eliminate some of those hands to give you a better idea of your position
- A story that "doesn't make sense" could be a bluff
- But in general, the chance of a "complete bluff" is negligible


## Reading hand ranges



Massachusetts Institute of Technology






## Reading hand ranges
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## Zeebo's Theorem

- "No player is capable of folding a full house on any betting round, regardless of the size of the bet."




## Flop, Turn, River Play

- Psychology - Levels of Thinking
- Medium Stack Play ( $\mathrm{M}>10$ )
- Psychology (Tells and Other Concepts)


## Mike Caro

- Mike Caro
- One of the first poker theorists
- Author Caro's Book of Poker Tells
- Advocate for 4-color decks
- Developed early poker AI, Orac
@Byat Amzon Caro, M. Caro's Book of Poker Tells: The Psychology and Body Language of Poker. Cardoza, 2003.

© flipchip on Wikimedia Commons. License: CC BY-SA. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.


## Psychological Concepts

- Fundamental Concepts
- Goal is to make +EV decisions
- Results of individual session or hand doesn't matter
- Image
- Organization and makeup of chip stack suggests play style
- Advertisement - opponent remember shown hands
- Preferred LAG when blinds are small, TAG when blinds are high
- Manipulation
- Opponents want to call or want to fold, depending on the person
- Don't be afraid to loosen up the table


## Longrun concepts

- What you've already invested doesn't matter
- Cards won't breakeven in long run
- Threshold of Misery - players often lose the most money immediately after losing more than they planned
- Don't try to prove you are the best; this isn't basketball


## Fears and tilt

- Showing down bad hands
- Losing money
- Playing short sessions while ahead and long sessions while behind


## Techniques for stabilizing

- Mark your bankroll to market
- Don't forget poker's most important secret. The secret is simply, "Play your best game all the time."
- Don't think about streaks, think about breakeven periods
- Have a short memory for hands - this is easier online


## Bubble Play

- Bubble
- Generally $10 \%$ or so of the field away from the money
- Average amateur players are way too tight
- Arguably your performance here determines how deep you finish
- Use ICM in marginal spots
- Two types of metagame
- Traditionally bubble is very passive
- More recently bubble is too aggressive


## Independent Chip Model (ICM)

- The ICM is used to convert cEV to $\$ E V$ in tournaments
- It's based on likelihood of ending up in certain payout spots
- As of now, it can only be solved algorithmically
- Just intuitively,
- When winner-takes-allm, cEV is close to \$EV
- When payouts are flat, cEV is far from \$EV
- With big changes in cEV, \$EV moves are not symmetrical
- Losing hurts more than winning


## ICM Example

| Player | Chips | Finish | Payout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adam | 2500 | 1 st | $\$ 1000$ |
| Bob | 2500 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 600$ |
| Charlie | 2500 | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 400$ |
| David | 2500 | 4 th | $\$ 0$ |

## ICM Example

| Player | Chips | Equity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adam | 2500 | $\$ 500$ |
| Bob | 2500 | $\$ 500$ |
| Charlie | 2500 | $\$ 500$ |
| David | 2500 | $\$ 500$ |


| Finish | Payout |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 st | $\$ 1000$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 600$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 400$ |
| 4th | $\$ 0$ |

## ICM Example

| Player | Chips | Finish | Payout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5000 | 1 st | $\$ 1000$ |
|  | 2500 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 600$ |
|  | Adam | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 400$ |
|  | Bob | 4th | $\$ 0$ |

## ICM Example

| Player | Chips | Equity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adam | 5000 | $\$ 766$ |
| Bob | 2500 | $\$ 616$ |
| Charlie | 2500 | $\$ 616$ |
| David | 0 | $\$ 0$ |


| Finish | Payout |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1st | $\$ 1000$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 600$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 400$ |
| 4th | $\$ 0$ |

## ICM Example

| Player | Chips | Equity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adam | 5000 | $\$ 766$ |
| Bob | 2500 | $\$ 616$ |
| Charlie | 2500 | $\$ 616$ |
| David | 0 | $\$ 0$ |


| Finish | Payout | Delta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 st | $\$ 1000$ | $+\$ 400$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 600$ | $+\$ 200$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 400$ |  |
| 4th | $\$ 0$ |  |

The Winner (Who has all the chips in the end) "gives up" equity to those knocked out before him

## ICM Example

| Player | Chips | Equity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adam | 5000 | $\$ 1000$ |
| Bob | 2500 | $\$ 500$ |
| Charlie | 2500 | $\$ 500$ |
| David | 0 | $\$ 0$ |


| Finish | Payout | Delta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 st | $\$ 2000$ | $+\$ 2000$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| 4th | $\$ 0$ |  |

The Winner (Who has all the chips in the end) "gives up" equity to those knocked out before him

## ICM Example (WSOP Satellite)

| Player | Chips | Equity | Finish | Payout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adam | 2500 | \$9000 | $1^{\text {st }}-9^{\text {th }}$ | \$10000 |
| Bob | 2500 | \$9000 | $10^{\text {th }}$ | \$0 |
| Charlie | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| David | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| Elaine | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| Fred | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| George | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| Hannah | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| Irene | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| Jessica | 2500 | \$9000 |  |  |
| ITI | ctrolegy |  |  |  |

## ICM Example (WSOP Satellite)

| Player | Chips | Equity |  | Finish | Payout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Irene | 2500 | $\$ 9000$ | $1^{\text {st }}-9^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 10000$ |  |
| Jessica (Hero) | 2500 | $\$ 9000$ |  | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 0$ |

Blinds 200/400
Irene raises 2100 to 2500
Jessica dealt $\mathrm{K} \upharpoonright \mathrm{K} \downarrow$
Jessica...

## ICM Example (WSOP Satellite)

| Player | Chips | Equity |  | Finish | Payout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Irene | 2500 | $\$ 9000$ |  | $1^{\text {st }}-9^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 10000$ |
| Jessica (Hero) | 2500 | $\$ 9000$ |  | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 0$ |

Blinds 200/400
Irene raises 2100 to 2500
Jessica dealt $K \diamond K \vee$
Jessica...
KK vs $\mathrm{ATC}=82 \%$
cEquity $=82 \% * 5000+18 \% * 0=4100 \quad c E V=1600$
$\$$ Equity $=82 \% * \$ 10000+18 \% * 0=\$ 8200 \$ E V=-\$ 800$

## Tournament Life Cycle

The most important tournament concept is tempo.

- Early Game
- Bubble and Early Cashes
- Late Game/Final Table


## Late Game

- Don't be overwhelmed by stakes, play it like a single-table tournament
- Continue to steal/re-steal as appropriate
- Be conscious of ICM
- Know the marginal payouts of positions
- Exploit players who are under bankrolled


## Tournaments

- Tournament Life Cycle
- Flop Turn River Play
- Psychology
- Bankroll Management
- PokerStars Prize League Results


## Bankroll Management

- What is a bankroll?
- Generally "the amount of money you devote to poker investments"
- More specifically, it's the amount of money that you would deem "irrecoverable" if lost and would force you to stop playing poker
- This only matters for winning players
- Some examples:
- For new players: "A large amount of money"
- For amateurs: Expendable cash available, not in investments
- For pros: Expendable cash and capacity to borrow


## Bankroll Management Rules

- Rules of Thumb
- based off a $2 \%$ chance of going broke (Risk of Ruin)
- uses average stakes/buy ins
- does not assume natural increase/decrease in stakes
- Assumes Solid Winning Play and Typical Variance
- Bankroll recommended for each game
- Cash Games
- NL - 20 Max Buy-ins
- Limit - 300 Big Bets
- Tournaments
- Single Table Tournaments - 30 Entries
- Multi-Table Tournaments - 50-100 Entries
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## Bankroll Management Theory

- Kelly Criterion
- If your utility curve is logarithmic
- Maximize utility by betting with regard to edge
- For example, in a biased coin game, if you are $60 \%$ to win, you should risk $20 \%$ of your bankroll $(60 \%-40 \%)$ on that bet
- This is the fundamental basis of bet-sizing in BlackJack


## Bankroll Management in WSOP

- WSOP has one of the biggest tournament fields with 6000+ entries
- The buy-in is large at $\$ 10,000$, even among MTT pros
- The appropriate bankroll for this would be over $\$ 1,000,000$
- Compared to equivalent $\$ 1,000,000$ investments, playing one hundred WSOP main events has terrible risk-adjusted returns
- WSOP has the softest field of any $\$ 10,000$ tournament
- Placing deep in the WSOP has publicity upsides in addition to winnings


## Risk Management

- Staking and Investment
- Using the WSOP as an example, a good winning player might have a $\$$ EV of $+\$ 5000(+50 \%$ roi), with a huge variance
- Investors with appropriate risk preferences can eat the variance in return for a portion of the winnings
- Common deal is $50 \%$ of upside $+100 \%$ of losses
- This is an equity investment
- Selling Shares and Trading Percentages
- Almost universally, pros will trade percentages of their play in big events with other pros
- In addition, players might sell single-tournament shares to individuals to split their play into 10 smaller chunks at $10 \%$ markup


## Other Considerations

- Counterparty Risk
- Lifestyle
- Current Poker Environment
- Game Selection
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## Tournaments

- Tournament Life Cycle
- Flop Turn River Play
- Psychology
- Bankroll Management
- PokerStars Prize League Results


## MIT Poker Theory and Analytics

Club Manager: (Desmond_MIT)


* Points are only awarded in tournaments with four or more players.


Season Statistics ( Play Money )
Total Points: 94.79 ( 1.48 PPG) Games Played: 64, 6 win(s)

Finishes: Best Place: $\mathbf{1}$ of $\mathbf{3 0}$
Worst Place: 38 of $\mathbf{4 0}$ In The Money: 14 out of 64 ( $22 \%$ )
[Gold] x 6
[Silver] x 3
[Bronze] x 4
Knockouts: 94.5
*Tournaments with less than four players do not count towards player statistics:

## Final Standings

| Prize Order | Player | Points | PPG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | YKato_MIT | 52.81 | 1.76 |
| 2 | Amereno_MIT | 77.56 | 1.52 |
| 3 | McSmith_MIT | 94.79 | 1.48 |
| 4 | Mannes_MIT | 53.02 | 1.47 |
| 5 | Shields_MIT | 67.48 | 1.44 |
| 6 | JZhao_MIT | 89.39 | 1.42 |
| 7 | mwymer_MIT | 70.33 | 1.3 |
| 8 | Rao_MIT | 84.99 | 1.29 |
|  | JKramer_MIT | 51.94 | 1.24 |

## Final Standings (continued)

| Prize Order | Player | Points | PPG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Harris_MIT | 56.46 | 1.23 |
| 11 | ArjunN_MIT | 83.5 | 1.16 |
| 12 | Wang_MIT | 52.17 | 1.13 |
| 13 | Hilton_MIT | 55.17 | 1.06 |
| 14 | Subashki_MIT | 57.8 | 1.05 |
| 15 | wtareid_MIT | 53.7 | 0.98 |
| 16 | DMa_MIT | 50.95 | 0.94 |
| 17 | ChenGu_MIT | 62.49 | 0.89 |
| 18 | Liu_MIT | 51.97 | 0.85 |
| 19 | AMoran_MIT | 52.27 | 0.84 |

## Prizes

- An iPad Mini from Jane Street
- A GoPro from Citadel
- Private coaching from Will Ma
- Kindle Paperwhite and $\$ 100$ Amazon Gift Card from Prismata
- A customized poker chip set from SIG
- Lots of books


## An iPad Mini from Jane Street



iPad mini Wi-Fi 16GB Silver<br>"Best of Skill!"

Courtesy of Wind.com.my on
Flickr. License: CC BY-NC.

## Jane Street ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Quant trading firm, based in NYC
Major player in the HFT market

## A GoPro from Citadel

GoPro Hero3 - White Edition

Image courtesy of Intel Free Press on Wikimedia Commons. License CC-BY.


## Multi-Strategy Hedge Fund, based in NYC \$25 Billion AUM
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## Private Coaching from Will Ma

Photo of Will Ma removed due to copyright restrictions.

- One Hour Coaching Donated
- Will is a CardRunners.com

Professional Poker Coach

- \$1 Million+ in Career

Tournament Winnings

## Kindle Paperwhite from Prismata



Kindle Paperwhite WiFi +\$100 Amazon Gift Card

Kindle Paperwhite image courtesy of Explain
That Stuff on Flickr. License: CC BY-NC-SA.


Turn-based strategy card game
Developed by Will Ma + Lunarch Studios

## Prismaita



## A poker chip set from SIG



300-piece Diamond Suited 12.5g Chips
Metal Case and Chips Engraved with SIG

Image is in the public domain.
Source: Wikimedia Commons.


## Books



Tannen, Jon. Smashing Fewer Holes in Your Computer Desk: Written Insights on Modern Internet Poker.
Tendler, J. and B. Carter:
@ByatAmzan The Mental Game of Poker. Jared Tendler, LLC., 2001.
ØByatamzon The Mental Game of Poker 2. Jared Tendler, LLC., 2013.
©Byyat Amzan Building a Bankroll. Jared Tendler, LLC., 2012.

## Books

@ByyatAmazon Miller, Ed. How to Read hands at No-Limit Hold'em. CreateSpace, 2011. OByat Amazon Miller, Ed. Playing the Player. CreateSpace, 2012.
Obyyat Amazon Miller, Ed. Poker's 1\%. CreateSpace, 2014.
Øiyatamzon Moshman, C. and D. Zane. The Math of Hold'em. Dimat Enterprises, 2011. ØByatAmazon Beating Blackjack with Andy Bloch. Directed by Keller, Michael. Color / 60 min. 2007.


## Closing_Remarks

- Great Class Overall
- Fantastic Support from MIT and from Sponsors
- Competitive Online League
- High Quality Guest Speakers
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MIT OpenCourseWare
http://ocw.mit.edu
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