Session 4 July 12, 10:45-12:15pm

Managing Operating Costs and Credit Risk



Credit costs from a budgetary view

• Subsidy Rate Calculation

– Subsidy Rate = (NPV outflows - NPV inflows) / gross loan amount

- In addition to economic assumptions needed for NPV analysis, must develop technical assumptions:
 - Cash flows specified in loan contract
 - Deviations from contract terms such as defaults, recoveries, prepayments, forgiveness
 - Management assumptions about future business or legislative authority



Estimating direct loan subsidy costs





Estimating loan guaranty subsidy costs





Data elements used to populate subsidy calculator

Illustrative example

		Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5
Name	Sec	tion 502 loans								
Program type	Dire	ect loan								
Obligations	\$	1,238,295,563								
Disbursements	\$	1,057,311,433	\$	152,384,782	\$	15,062,406	\$	7,170,335	\$	3,903,427
Principal payments, scheduled	\$	4,798,244	\$	10,843,855	\$	12,919,103	\$	13,964,966	\$	14,955,592
Interest payments, scheduled	\$	31,839,380	\$	69,318,033	\$	77,360,794	\$	77,696,847	\$	77,159,022
Prepayments, net	\$	1,410,597	\$	5,397,157	\$	10,484,994	\$	14,910,515	\$	19,645,788
Default effect on cash flows	-\$	304,675	-\$	1,500,893	-\$	3,014,195	-\$	3,768,513	-\$	3,916,205
Losses other than defaults	-\$	9,948,988	-\$	24,777,547	-\$	30,853,466	-\$	30,905,966	-\$	29,625,912
Recoveries	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,090,306	\$	10,310,645	\$	11,195,526
Other outflows	\$	615,991	\$	1,568,316	\$	2,416,707	\$	3,423,361	\$	3,970,961
Other inflows	\$	2,608	\$	6,928	\$	66,126	\$	172,663	\$	371,358
End										

* 1st five years; cash flows continue for life of loan cohort



Adjusting for actuals - reestimates

Illustrative example

# of loans	50
Loan amount	1,000
Borrower's rate	5.0%
Maturity	10 years
Annual P&I per loan	129.50
Discount rate	4.0%

Original Subsidy Rate:	
Outstanding	50,000
PV of cash flows	(44,575)
Subsidy cost	5,425
Subsidy rate	10.85%

Reestimated Subsidy Rate:

Outstanding	50,000
PV of cash flows	(42, 385)
Subsidy cost	7,615
Subsidy rate	15.23%

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Default assumptions:										
Original	0.0%	2.0%	3.0%	5.0%	5.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reestimate	0.0%	2.0%	3.0%	7.0%	7.0%	5.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cash Flows										
Original:										
Contractual P&I	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475
Less defaults	<u>0</u>	(130)	(324)	(648)	(971)	(1,101)	(1,101)	(1,101)	(1,101)	(1,101)
Estimated	6,475	6,346	6,151	5,828	5,504	5,374	5,374	5,374	5,374	5,374
Reestimate:										
Contractual P&I	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475	6,475
Less defaults	0	(130)	(324)	(777)	(1.230)	(1.554)	(1.684)	(1.684)	(1.684)	(1.684)
Estimated	6,475	6,346	6,151	5,698	5,245	4,921	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792



Integrating performance & budgeting

- Monitor performance from budgetary & programmatic POV
 - Assess past performance; take action
 - Adjust budgeted amounts (looking back but, importantly, going forward) and/or risk thresholds
 - Key is to balance policy goals with risks and taxpayer costs
- Inform policies, practices, underwriting standards & budgeting with performance metrics tied to statutory purpose
- Develop oversight/control functions sufficiently independent of program management
 - Develop expertise within agency to identify emerging issues using realtime information re outstanding portfolio, including credit & operational risks



Monitoring loan performance

- Monitor, diagnose & report
 - Include regular collections, analysis & reporting of information/trends on aggregate and cohort basis
 - Flexible to deliver analysis necessary to identify & respond to developing issues
 - Coordinate closely with budget staff and enable virtuous feedback loop within agency
- Use dashboards, watch-lists, pipeline reports & other tools to track performance in real-time and to serve as early-warning indicators
- See Appendix D in A-129 for templates of various dashboards and other reports



Shared services

- Shared services gaining traction in other areas
 - Federal financial management (payroll, financial systems)
 - Procurement (strategic sourcing)
 - Information technology (category management)
- Is there an opportunity for shared services across federal credit community?
 - Subsidy rate estimation
 - Loan servicing
 - Lender monitoring
 - Debt collection
 - Asset disposition



Interesting to ponder a centralized credit unit

- Assess budgetary impacts of credit programs
- Ensure uniformity of approach in planning/evaluation
- Consider need for loan programs / assist in design
- Develop analytically rigorous risk management practices
- Establish automated and consistent underwriting systems
- Recommend policies aimed at improving program cost efficiency
- Develop consistent performance measures across credit agencies
- Design ways to close out programs that become irrelevant or no longer justify public subsidization



Analyzing the Five Staff Functions Involved in the Extension of Credit

What are (five of) the seven essential functions in the extension of credit that all lenders must perform or cause to have performed?

The configuration of these functions for any program or portfolio will define the cost of the credit instrument as well as its effectiveness in achieving its purpose.

1	Marketing		
	· Message	What it is Why you need it Why us?	
	· Medium	The full range of communications: signage hillhoards media personal networks social networks	
		International national state local community	
2	Origination		
Ζ.	Origination		
	Advisory	Interface with the client that ends in a "go" or "no-go" decision	
	 Application 	The commitment of time in assisting the borrower and ensuring the information is complete	
	 Due diligence 	Vetting the data submitted by the applicant, obtaining data from relevant sources	
	 Credit analysis 	Evaluating the borrower data, assessing feasibility and the capacity to pay, structuring the transaction	
	· Approval	Approving the transaction in line with the standards, authorities, and procedures of the institution	
	Documentation	Assembling complete and consistent documents of the agreement, obtaining signatures	
	Closing	Funding the loan or the grant; commiting the credit of the institution	
3.	Servicing		
	· Billing and collections	Calculating the proper amounts of principal and interest due, invoicing and collection payments	
	Customer service	Questions about the transaction, institutional policies and procedures, the client relationship	
4.	Monitoring		
	· Loan payments	Tracking how much of what is being paid and when, relative to the agreement is the first and most important ir	ndic
	Borrower	Performing routine due diligence, through contact and available data on the client is key to anticipating risk	
	· Lender	Performing portfolio reviews, and where pertinent, field audits of lending partners, implementing remedial step	ps
	· Service provider	Performing work and contract reviews, implementing remedial steps	
5.	Default		
	Maturity	Deferral, modification, rewrites: altering the credit to suit the borrower's needs	
	Termination	Payment and/or payment capacity is sufficiently impaired to warrant termination of the agreement	



Calculating Operating Costs by Function

Breakdown of Expenses (using the numbers from the most recent year)									
	Direct Staff Expenses	Indirect Staff and Resources	Total Direct and Indirect		Administrativ e Allocation		Total Expenses		
Marketing	\$250,000	\$750,000	\$1,000,000		\$300,000		\$1,300,000		
	\$5,920,000 \$0 \$0	\$2,250,000 \$0 \$0	\$8,170,000 \$0 \$0		\$2,451,000 \$0 \$0		\$10,621,000 \$0 \$0		
	JO L	ŲÇ	ŲÇ		ΟÇ		ĻΟ		
Servicing	\$3,600,000	\$6,000,000	\$9,600,000		\$2,880,000		\$12,480,000		
Monitoring	\$750,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,950,000		\$585,000		\$2,535,000		
Default Management	\$750,000	\$900,000	\$1,650,000		\$495,000		\$2,145,000		
Totals	\$11,270,000	\$11,100,000	\$22,370,000		\$6,711,000		\$29,081,000		



The Unit Cost Analysis (Activity-Based Costing)

Agency Cost Per Unit of providing a loa	n						
Average Size of the Loans Originated	\$350,000	Annual Loans Closed \$	\$175,000,000		Annual Loans Closed #	500	
	Total Costs	Total Number of Loans		\$ Cost per Loan by Function		% Cost per Loan by Function	% Cost per Loan Closed to Annual
Marketing	\$1,000,000	2,000		\$500		0.14%	0.57%
Origination	\$3,950,000	1,000		\$3,950		1.13%	2.26%
Underwriting	\$2,900,000	600		\$4,833		1.38%	1.66%
Closing	\$1,320,000	500		\$2,640		0.75%	0.75%
Subtotal: Providing the Loan	\$9,170,000			\$11,923		3.41%	5.24%

The Cost per Loan by Function is the amount the agency pays on average for any individual loan. The Cost per Loan Closed is derived from the total expense for each function divided by the actual number of loans closed. The differences in the amounts reflects: (i) all loans that are originated do not generate a complete application; and (ii) all loans that generate a complete application do not go to closing. If all loans originated generated applications, all applications were approved and all went to closing the numbers would be the same. The difference indicates the cost of all of the activities that are performed that do not produce a loan.



The Unit Cost Analysis (cont.)

Agency Cost Per Unit of keeping and m	anaging the loan						
Average Size of the Loans Serviced	\$350,000	Annual Loans Serviced	\$1,050,00	00,000	3,000		
							Cost per Loan
				.	a		Serviced to
	Total Costs	Total Number		Lost per Loan	Cost per Loan		Total Loans
		of Loans		by Function	by Function		Serviced
Somising	¢0,600,000	2 000		62 200	0.01%		0.01%
Monitoring	\$9,000,000	3,000		\$5,200	0.91%		0.91%
	\$1,950,000	3,000		\$050 ćr r00	0.19%		0.19%
Exit	\$1,650,000	300		\$5,500	1.57%		0.16%
Subtotal: Managing the Loan	\$13,200,000			\$9,350	2.67%		1.26%
Total Operating Cost	\$22,370,000						
Allocation of General Agency Costs to the	Loan						
Agency allocation	30%	The Agency allo	ocation covers all	of the institut	ional costs, including m	anagement, rent, com	munications, et
Total Operating Cost	\$29,081,000		Per loan	\$9,693.67		To Total Loans	2.77%



Staff Productivity

Staff Productivity					
	Total # of FTE	Number of Loans Handled	Loans per FTE	National Benchmarks*	Benchmark Performance
Loans per Marketing FTE	2	2,000	1,000	10,000	(9,000)
Loans per Originating FTE	60	1,000	17	150	(133)
Loans per Servicing FTE	40	3,000	75	500	(425)
Loans per Monitoring FTE	10	3,000	300	750	(450)
Loans per Loan Default FTE **	8	300	38	100	(63)
	120	9,300			

* National Benchmarks. There is no one source for benchmarks at this level of specificity. However, general indicators are available from trade associations such as the Mortgage Bankers Association or the Consumer Bankers Association. These can be used to help determine whether a function can be or should be outsourced.

** Loans per loan exit are loans that are delinquent and/or have defaulted, and which require elevated levels of due diligence and management. They are generally under 10% of the portfolio



Shared Services

There is no need to wait months or years to award a contract for outside services, or to "reinvent the wheel." Among the federal credit agencies, there is a wide range of specialties and capabilities that can be tapped cheaply (and without a profit margin) and quickly (without a formal bidding process). This is allowed by the Economy Act of 1932.

The Economy Act of 1932, as amended, 31 U.S.C. § 1535, permits Federal Government agencies to purchase goods or services from other Federal Government agencies or other major organizational units within the same agency. An Economy Act purchase is permitted only if: (1) amounts for the purchase are actually available, (2) the purchase is in the best interest of the Government, (3) the ordered goods or services cannot be provided by contract from a commercial enterprise, i.e., the private sector, as conveniently or cheaply as could be by the Government, and (4) the agency or unit to fill the order is able to provide or get by contract the ordered goods or services.



Lowering Costs Through Technology and Outsourcing

	Loan Volume		\$175,000,000				
WAT HOWE BANK	Loans Outstand	Loans Outstanding					
	Before Credit Scoring	After Credit Scoring		Before Automated Application	After Automated Application	Before Outsourcing Servicing	After Outsourcing Servicing
Revenues to Total Assets	4 47%	4.47%		4 47%	4 47%	4 47%	4.10%
	1.1770			1.1776	1.1770		1.10/0
Interest Expense to Total Assets	0.28%	0.28%		0.28%	0.28%	0.28%	0.28%
Operating Expense to Total Assets	2.77%	2.50%		2.77%	2.30%	2.77%	1.55%
Credit Losses to Total Assets	0.27%	0.27%		0.27%	0.27%	0.27%	0.27%
Total Expenses to Total Assets	3.32%	3.05%		3.32%	2.85%	3.32%	2.10%
Profit/Surplus to Total Assets	1.15%	1.42%		1.15%	1.62%	1.15%	2.00%
Capital (same % as Small Bank in Chart 1.6)	14.00%			14.00%		14.00%	
Capital	\$147,000,000			\$147,000,000		\$147,000,000	
ROE	8.22%	10.18%		8.22%	11.59%	8.22%	14.25%



What kind of risk are we supposed to be preparing for?

Overarching issues

Points of view that often guide or govern the level of credit risk

- The banks aren't lending in my District
- The government ought not to be in the lending business: if borrowers aren't bankable they shouldn't borrow
- The government is not taking enough risk
- The government should keep credit losses under 2 %
- The program should be budget neutral
- · The government should never lend to make a profit
- The government is here to help me: concessionary rates, modifications, waivers, less paperwork burden
- The government will (or should) never foreclose on me
- I have a right to participate in this credit program
- The government should never take a subordinate position
- The government should always take a subordinate position
- · Risk-based pricing
- Usury begins at 9%
- All Other



Key Problem: The Loss Curve





Credit Scoring

c	 	~		
วน	 	a	ιv	

Credit scoring has become the primary form of underwriting small ticket (under \$750,000) high volume credit programs. In addition to personal credit scores of the business owners, there are highly accurate systems for scoring the business. The focus is not on evaluation of the company financials; business tax and financial data typically accounts for less than 15% weight of the business score. The business scores are largely reflective of the personal scores of the principal owners and the focus is on how they pay creditors over time. Recently business scoring systems have added tracking and analysis of business deposit balances. Systems can cost as little as \$10 or \$15 per business borrower -- a steep reduction in operating cost from the traditional range of \$1,500 to \$5,000 per loan. The credit scores have also proven more accurate than manual underwriting for loans of \$750,000 or less. The accuracy diminishes as size of the loan and the business increases, however, and most small business loans still require some level of manual underwriting.

Example of a Credit Scoring Chart	Credit Score	Loan Volume	Charged-off	Loss Rate		Number of Loans	
	180-189	\$665,787,329	\$29,315,563	4.40%		2,124	
	190-199	\$641,832,238	\$20,946,992	3.26%		2,045	
	200-209	\$581,054,849	\$10,019,915	1.72%		1,805	
	210-219	\$428,922,655	\$6,197,609	1.44%		1,303	
	220-229	\$213,636,108	\$2,321,998	1.09%		647	
	230-300	\$58,352,984	\$194,577	0.33%		149	
	TOTAL	\$25,895,876,163	\$68,996,654	2.66%		8,073	
Desalts a this Chast	This is an estimation				the second The scheme shows the s	statite et la contra a sea	

Reading this Chart

This is an actual chart produced some years ago by a major lender with its own scoring system for businesses. The chart shows the riskiest businesses loans at the top with scores between 180 and 189 and a 4.40% loss rate, descending to the least risky with scores in the 230-300 range and an average loss rate of .33%. The balance among the different credit scores enables the lender to achieve an overall 2.66% loss rate -- not bad for a small business lender -- by using low risk loans to subsidize high risk loans. This lender developed the scores over a 15 year period using volume in the billions of dollars and thousands of loans. The lender has found the scores highly predictable, and uses them to ensure a proper portfolio balance going forward.

Loan Loss Reserves

The lender can use this data to establish a loss reserve of at least 2.66%. A prudent approach would be to incorporate uncertainty into the Loss Reserve by making a Provision for Losses that brings the Loss Reserve to something more in the range of 3.5 -4.0%. The reason: the balance of credit scores in the portfolio is likely to change, particularly if there is a change in economic conditions. This particular lender also routinely updates the scores of existing borrowers at least quarterly so that the financial standing of *all* of its loans are current. The Loan Loss Reserve is adjusted accordingly.



Standard Banking Definitions

										_
Definitions										
Delinquency	A delinquent loan is a loa the report date; a "60-day missed monthly payment. generally foreclosure proc directed to send loans tha direct lending. All lenders	n that has missed at " is past due by two p There are no hard ru eedings aren't starte t are delinquent 180 have discretion in re	least one schedu payments, etc. V ules on when for d before a loan i days to Treasury emedying delingu	iled payment. T When a loan is o reclosure should is 90 days past o y for collection. Jency.	herefore, delinquent d begin an due, and n The term	a "30-day" de , the full princ d practice can nany don't sta delinquency is	linquent loan ipal is account differ from or rt until a loan s used by agen	is past due by o ted as delinque ne asset class to is 120 days. Age cies for prograr	ne payment as of nt, not just the o the next. But encies are ms involving	
Default	In the context of mortgage Federal agency programs lending partner or on which the time the loan is purcha	pools, a defaulted k hat guarantee loans h a claim has been p used or a claim is pai	oan is one that " made by private paid. There can b d.	no longer pays e sector lenders pe a time lapse	principal a use the te between t	ind interest, and interest, and interest, and erm "default" t The time a loar	nd then remai to indicate a lo n goes delinqu	ns delinquent u oan has been pu ent at the lendi	intil liquidated. Irchased from the ng partner and	õ
Charge-off	This is the amount of prine Reserve and a Credit to th	cipal that the lender of Loan balance.	estimates will be	written off on	a delinque	ent loan. It is a	a non-cash ite	m that is a debi	t to the Loss	
Recovery	This is the amount of prind liqudating or foreclosing o balance and debit cash. T management discipline.	ipal that is recovered n the loan. Standarc ne ratio of recoveries	d after all or a po d practice is to (i) s to charge-offs is	ortion of a loan credit the loss s a critical indic	has been reserve ar ator of (i)	charged-off. Ind debit the Lo the type of loa	t is often, but oan balance; a ans; (ii) the lev	not always, the nd then (ii) crec el of risk being	product of lit the loan taken; and (iii)	
Challenge for the agencies: standardizing definitions										

GOLUB CENTER FOR FINANCE AND POLICY

Key Operating Data Points: Expanding on A-129

	This Month		Year to Date		YTD Prior Year		Annual Budget		Annual Prior Year		Annual 2nd Prior Year	
Volume	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %
Loans Originated												
New Customers												
Percentage Increase (Decrease) vs prior												
period												
Existing Customers												
Percentage Increase (Decrease) vs prior												
period												
Total Approvals												
Percentage Increase (Decrease) vs prior												
period												
New Applications Declined												
Renewals Declined												
Processing												
New Customer Applications Submitted												
Approvals of New Applications												
Percentage to Total New Applications												
Closings												
Percentage to total Applications												
New Applications in process												
Percentage to total New Applications												
Renewals												
Percentage to total Loan Approvals												
Renewals Scheduled for Next Period												
Loan Approvals outstanding												
Percentage Increase (Decrease)												
Disbursements outstanding												
Percentage Increase (Decrease)												
Amendment/Waiver												
Amended Loans Outstanding												
Percentage to total loans outstanding												



Key Operating Data Points: Expanding on A-129 (cont.)

	THIS MONTH		YEAR TO DATE		YTD PRIOR YEAR		ANNUAL BUDGET		ANNUAL PRIOR YEAR		ANNUAL 2ND PRIOR YEAR	
Staff	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %	Dollar	# or %
Total Op Expenses / Number of Operating												
Staff												
Credit Providing FTE (Origination, etc.)												
Credit Managing FTE (Servicing,												
monitoring, etc.)												
Number of Applications Processed per												
Providing FTE												
Number of Renewals Processed per												
Providing FTE												
Number of Amendments Processed per												
Managing FTE												
Number of Loans in Workout per												
Managing FTE												
Operating Services provided by 3rd												
Parties												
3rd Party cost per loan originated												
3rd Party cost per loan underwritten												
3rd Party cost per loan serviced												
Other 3rd Party costs to total loans												
De al-la -												
Backlog												
Applications Submitted												
Applications Submitted												
Applications Approved												
Commitments Made												
Ending Applications on Hand												
Percentage Increase (Decrease) over												
prior period												



RES.15-002 Mission and Metrics: Finance Training for Federal Credit Program Professionals Summer 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.