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## Managing Operating Costs and Credit Risk

## Credit costs from a budgetary view

- Subsidy Rate Calculation
- Subsidy Rate = (NPV outflows - NPV inflows) / gross loan amount
- In addition to economic assumptions needed for NPV analysis, must develop technical assumptions:
- Cash flows specified in loan contract
- Deviations from contract terms such as defaults, recoveries, prepayments, forgiveness
- Management assumptions about future business or legislative authority


## Estimating direct loan subsidy costs



## Estimating loan guaranty subsidy costs



## Data elements used to populate subsidy calculator

## Illustrative example



* $1^{\text {st }}$ five years; cash flows continue for life of loan cohort


## Adjusting for actuals - reestimates

## Illustrative example

| \# of loans.................. | 50 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Loan amount | 1,000 |
| Borrower's rate.......... | $5.0 \%$ |
| Maturity.................... | 10 years |
| Annual P\&I per loan.... | 129.50 |
| Discount rate............. | $4.0 \%$ |

OrIgInal Subsidy Rate:

| Outstanding............. | 50,000 |
| :--- | :---: |
| PV of cash flows......... | $\frac{(44.575)}{5,425}$ |
| Subsidy cost........... |  |
| Subsidy rate............... | $10.85 \%$ |

Reestimated Subsidy Rate:

| Outstanding............ | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PV of cash flows. | (42.385) |
| Subsidy cost. | 7,615 |
| Subsidy rate.. | 15.23\% |


|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Default assumptions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original.............. | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Reestimate......... | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Cash Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractual P\&I..... | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 |
| Less defaults.. | $\underline{0}$ | (130) | (324) | (648) | (971) | (1.101) | (1.101) | (1.101) | (1.101) | (1.101) |
| Estimated... | 6,475 | 6,346 | 6,151 | 5,828 | 5,504 | 5,374 | 5,374 | 5,374 | 5,374 | 5,374 |
| Reestimate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractual P\&I..... | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 | 6,475 |
| Less defaults....... | 0 | (130) | (324) | (777) | (1.230) | (1.554) | (1.684) | (1,684) | (1.684) | (1.684) |
| Estimated... | 6,475 | 6,346 | 6,151 | 5,698 | 5,245 | 4,921 | 4,792 | 4,792 | 4,792 | 4,792 |

## Integrating performance \& budgeting

- Monitor performance from budgetary \& programmatic POV
- Assess past performance; take action
- Adjust budgeted amounts (looking back but, importantly, going forward) and/or risk thresholds
- Key is to balance policy goals with risks and taxpayer costs
- Inform policies, practices, underwriting standards \& budgeting with performance metrics tied to statutory purpose
- Develop oversight/control functions sufficiently independent of program management
- Develop expertise within agency to identify emerging issues using realtime information re outstanding portfolio, including credit \& operational risks


## Monitoring loan performance

- Monitor, diagnose \& report
- Include regular collections, analysis \& reporting of information/trends on aggregate and cohort basis
- Flexible to deliver analysis necessary to identify \& respond to developing issues
- Coordinate closely with budget staff and enable virtuous feedback loop within agency
- Use dashboards, watch-lists, pipeline reports \& other tools to track performance in real-time and to serve as early-warning indicators
- See Appendix D in A-129 for templates of various dashboards and other reports


## Shared services

- Shared services gaining traction in other areas
- Federal financial management (payroll, financial systems)
- Procurement (strategic sourcing)
- Information technology (category management)
- Is there an opportunity for shared services across federal credit community?
- Subsidy rate estimation
- Loan servicing
- Lender monitoring
- Debt collection
- Asset disposition


## Interesting to ponder a centralized credit unit

- Assess budgetary impacts of credit programs
- Ensure uniformity of approach in planning/evaluation
- Consider need for loan programs / assist in design
- Develop analytically rigorous risk management practices
- Establish automated and consistent underwriting systems
- Recommend policies aimed at improving program cost efficiency
- Develop consistent performance measures across credit agencies
- Design ways to close out programs that become irrelevant or no longer justify public subsidization


# Analyzing the Five Staff Functions Involved in the Extension of Credit 

## What are (five of) the seven essential functions in the extension of credit that all lenders must perform or cause to have performed?

The configuration of these functions for any program or portfolio will define the cost of the credit instrument as well as its effectiveness in achieving its purpose.

## 1. Marketing



## Calculating Operating Costs by Function

## Breakdown of Expenses (using the numbers from the most recent year)

|  | Direct Staff Expenses | Indirect Staff and Resources | Total Direct and Indirect | Administrativ e Allocation | Total Expenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing | \$250,000 | \$750,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$300,000 | \$1,300,000 |
| Origination | \$5,920,000 | \$2,250,000 | \$8,170,000 | \$2,451,000 | \$10,621,000 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Servicing | \$3,600,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$9,600,000 | \$2,880,000 | \$12,480,000 |
| Monitoring | \$750,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,950,000 | \$585,000 | \$2,535,000 |
| Default Management | \$750,000 | \$900,000 | \$1,650,000 | \$495,000 | \$2,145,000 |
| Totals | \$11,270,000 | \$11,100,000 | \$22,370,000 | \$6,711,000 | \$29,081,000 |

## The Unit Cost Analysis (Activity-Based Costing)

Agency Cost Per Unit of providing a loan

| Average Size of the Loans Originated | \$350,000 | Annual Loans Closed \$ | \$175,000,000 |  | Annual Loans Closed \# | 500 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Costs | Total Number |  | \$ Cost per |  | \% Cost per | \% Cost per |
|  | Total Costs | of Loans |  |  |  |  | Loan Closed |
|  |  |  |  | Function |  | Function | to Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing | \$1,000,000 | 2,000 |  | \$500 |  | 0.14\% | 0.57\% |
| Origination | \$3,950,000 | 1,000 |  | \$3,950 |  | 1.13\% | 2.26\% |
| Underwriting | \$2,900,000 | 600 |  | \$4,833 |  | 1.38\% | 1.66\% |
| Closing | \$1,320,000 | 500 |  | \$2,640 |  | 0.75\% | 0.75\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal: Providing the Loan | \$9,170,000 |  |  | \$11,923 |  | 3.41\% | 5.24\% |

The Cost per Loan by Function is the amount the agency pays on average for any individual loan. The Cost per Loan Closed is derived from the total expense for each function divided by the actual number of loans closed. The differences in the amounts reflects: (i) all loans that are originated do not generate a complete application; and (ii) all loans that generate a complete application do not go to closing. If all loans originated generated applications, all applications were approved and all went to closing the numbers would be the same. The difference indicates the cost of all of the activities that are performed that do not produce a loan.

## The Unit Cost Analysis (cont.)

## Agency Cost Per Unit of keeping and managing the loan

| Average Size of the Loans Serviced | \$350,000 | Annual Loans Serviced | \$1,050,000,000 |  | 3,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cost per Loan Serviced to Total Loans Serviced |
|  | Total Costs | Total Number of Loans |  | Cost per Loan by Function | Cost per Loan by Function |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing | \$9,600,000 | 3,000 |  | \$3,200 | 0.91\% |  | 0.91\% |
| Monitoring | \$1,950,000 | 3,000 |  | \$650 | 0.19\% |  | 0.19\% |
| Exit | \$1,650,000 | 300 |  | \$5,500 | 1.57\% |  | 0.16\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal: Managing the Loan | \$13,200,000 |  |  | \$9,350 | 2.67\% |  | 1.26\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Cost | \$22,370,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocation of General Agency Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency allocation | 30\% | The Agency allo | tion covers | all of the instituti | ats, including ma | ment, rent, comm | munications, ets |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Cost | \$29,081,000 |  | Per loan | \$9,693.67 |  | To Total Loans | 2.77\% |

## Staff Productivity

| Staff Productivity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total \# of FTE | Number of Loans Handled | Loans per FTE | National <br> Benchmarks* | Benchmark <br> Performance |
| Loans per Marketing FTE | 2 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 10,000 | $(9,000)$ |
| Loans per Originating FTE | 60 | 1,000 | 17 | 150 | (133) |
| Loans per Servicing FTE | 40 | 3,000 | 75 | 500 | (425) |
| Loans per Monitoring FTE | 10 | 3,000 | 300 | 750 | (450) |
| Loans per Loan Default FTE ** | 8 | 300 | 38 | 100 | (63) |
|  | 120 | 9,300 |  |  |  |

* National Benchmarks. There is no one source for benchmarks at this level of specificity. However, general indicators are available from trade associations such as the Mortgage Bankers Association or the Consumer Bankers Association. These can be used to help determine whether a function can be or should be outsourced.
** Loans per loan exit are loans that are delinquent and/or have defaulted, and which require elevated levels of due diligence and management. They are generally under 10\% of the portfolio


## Shared Services

There is no need to wait months or years to award a contract for outside services, or to "reinvent the wheel." Among the federal credit agencies, there is a wide range of specialties and capabilities that can be tapped cheaply (and without a profit margin) and quickly (without a formal bidding process). This is allowed by the Economy Act of 1932 .

The Economy Act of 1932, as amended, 31 U.S.C. § 1535, permits Federal Government agencies to purchase goods or services from other Federal Government agencies or other major organizational units within the same agency. An Economy Act purchase is permitted only if: (1) amounts for the purchase are actually available, (2) the purchase is in the best interest of the Government, (3) the ordered goods or services cannot be provided by contract from a commercial enterprise, i.e., the private sector, as conveniently or cheaply as could be by the Government, and (4) the agency or unit to fill the order is able to provide or get by contract the ordered goods or services.

## Lowering Costs Through Technology and Outsourcing

| WAY HOME BANK | Loan Volume |  | \$175,000,000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loans Outstanding |  | \$1,050,000,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before Credit Scoring | After Credit Scoring |  | Before Automated Application | After Automated Application | Before Outsourcing Servicing | After Outsourcing Servicing |
| Revenues to Total Assets | 4.47\% | 4.47\% |  | 4.47\% | 4.47\% | 4.47\% | 4.10\% |
| Interest Expense to Total Assets | 0.28\% | 0.28\% |  | 0.28\% | 0.28\% | 0.28\% | 0.28\% |
| Operating Expense to Total Assets | 2.77\% | 2.50\% |  | 2.77\% | 2.30\% | 2.77\% | 1.55\% |
| Credit Losses to Total Assets | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |  | 0.27\% | 0.27\% | 0.27\% | 0.27\% |
| Total Expenses to Total Assets | 3.32\% | 3.05\% |  | 3.32\% | 2.85\% | 3.32\% | 2.10\% |
| Profit/Surplus to Total Assets | 1.15\% | 1.42\% |  | 1.15\% | 1.62\% | 1.15\% | 2.00\% |
| Capital (same \% as Small Bank in Chart 1.6) | 14.00\% |  |  | 14.00\% |  | 14.00\% |  |
| Capital | \$147,000,000 |  |  | \$147,000,000 |  | \$147,000,000 |  |
| ROE | 8.22\% | 10.18\% |  | 8.22\% | 11.59\% | 8.22\% | 14.25\% |

## What kind of risk are we supposed to be preparing for?

## Overarching issues

## Points of view that often guide or govern the level of credit risk

- The banks aren't lending in my District
- The government ought not to be in the lending business: if borrowers aren't bankable they shouldn't borrow
- The government is not taking enough risk
- The government should keep credit losses under 2 \%
- The program should be budget neutral
- The government should never lend to make a profit
- The government is here to help me: concessionary rates, modifications, waivers, less paperwork burden
- The government will (or should) never foreclose on me
- I have a right to participate in this credit program
- The government should never take a subordinate position
- The government should always take a subordinate position
- Risk-based pricing
- Usury begins at $9 \%$
- All Other


## Key Problem: The Loss Curve





## Credit Scoring



## Standard Banking Definitions

## Definitions

## Delinquency

## Default

## Charge-off

## Recovery

A delinquent loan is a loan that has missed at least one scheduled payment. Therefore, a "30-day" delinquent loan is past due by one payment as of the report date; a "60-day" is past due by two payments, etc. When a loan is delinquent, the full principal is accounted as delinquent, not just the missed monthly payment. There are no hard rules on when foreclosure should begin and practice can differ from one asset class to the next. But generally foreclosure proceedings aren't started before a loan is 90 days past due, and many don't start until a loan is 120 days. Agencies are directed to send loans that are delinquent 180 days to Treasury for collection. The term delinquency is used by agencies for programs involving direct lending. All lenders have discretion in remedying delinquency.

In the context of mortgage pools, a defaulted loan is one that "no longer pays principal and interest, and then remains delinquent until liquidated. Federal agency programs that guarantee loans made by private sector lenders use the term "default" to indicate a loan has been purchased from the lending partner or on which a claim has been paid. There can be a time lapse between the time a loan goes delinquent at the lending partner and the time the loan is purchased or a claim is paid.

This is the amount of principal that the lender estimates will be written off on a delinquent loan. It is a non-cash item that is a debit to the Loss Reserve and a Credit to the Loan balance.

This is the amount of principal that is recovered after all or a portion of a loan has been charged-off. It is often, but not always, the product of liqudating or foreclosing on the loan. Standard practice is to (i) credit the loss reserve and debit the Loan balance; and then (ii) credit the loan balance and debit cash. The ratio of recoveries to charge-offs is a critical indicator of (i) the type of loans; (ii) the level of risk being taken; and (iii) management discipline.

Challenge for the agencies: standardizing definitions

## Key Operating Data Points: Expanding on A-129

|  | This Month |  | Year to Date |  | YTD Prior Year |  | Annual Budget |  | Annual Prior Year |  | Annual 2nd Prior Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% |
| Loans Originated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Customers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Increase (Decrease) vs prior period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Customers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Increase (Decrease) vs prior period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Approvals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Increase (Decrease) vs prior period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Applications Declined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renewals Declined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Customer Applications Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approvals of New Applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage to Total New Applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Closings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage to total Applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Applications in process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage to total New Applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renewals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage to total Loan Approvals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renewals Scheduled for Next Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan Approvals outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disbursements outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amendment/Waiver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amended Loans Outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage to total loans outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Key Operating Data Points: Expanding on A-129 (cont.)

|  | THIS MONTH |  | YEAR TO DATE |  | YTD PRIOR YEAR |  | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | ANNUAL PRIOR YEAR |  | ANNUAL 2ND PRIOR YEAR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% | Dollar | \# or \% |
| Total Op Expenses / Number of Operating Staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit Providing FTE (Origination, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit Managing FTE (Servicing, monitoring, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Applications Processed per Providing FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Renewals Processed per Providing FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Amendments Processed per Managing FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Loans in Workout per Managing FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Services provided by 3rd Parties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd Party cost per loan originated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd Party cost per loan underwritten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd Party cost per loan serviced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other 3rd Party costs to total loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backlog |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Applications on Hand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applications Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applications Approved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applications Declined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments Made |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Applications on Hand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Increase (Decrease) over prior period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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